# Model Complexity and VC Dimension

Machine Learning CSx824/ECEx242 Bert Huang Virginia Tech

- Review form of bound
- VC dimension definition
- VC dimension of large-margin classifiers

### Outline

### Generalization Error Bound



 $VC(H) \left(\log \frac{2n}{VC(H)}\right)$  $R(h) \leq \hat{R}(h) + 1$ n

### if complexity is fixed



$$+1
ight) - \log rac{\delta}{4}$$

$$\approx \sqrt{\frac{\text{complexity}(H)}{n}}$$

### if complexity is O(n)



## Vapnik-Chervonenkis Dimension

- Expressive power, or capacity, of a hypothesis class
  - Linear classifiers in d-dimensional space
  - Degree k polynomial classifiers
  - Hierarchical axis-parallel classifiers (decision trees)
- Measured by ability of hypothesis class to shatter n points



Classify points into all possible labels

✓ ++, +-, -+, - -















### Classify points into all possible labels



+++, ++-, +-+, +--, -++, - + -, - - -





















Classify points into all possible labels





Classify points into all possible labels









Classify points into all possible labels



### Classify points into all possible labels

+

### 4 points cannot be shattered by 2d linear classifier





## VC Dimension

- VC dimension of hypothesis class H:
- Maximum number of examples that can be shattered by H
- Examples can be arranged (feature values) in any way
- Must be shattered in same arrangement
- In general: linear classifier has VC dimension (d + 1)

## VC Model Capacity Intuition

- How many points can this model class memorize?
- Game view:
  - We choose placement of points
  - Adversary chooses labeling
  - Can we classify labeling?
- Think of learning algorithm as function  $A: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H}$ and hypothesis as a function  $h: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$

VC dimension |y| means A can output an h that can output any y





Х

### $VC(H) = R^2 W^T W$

### doesn't depend on dimensionality!

### radius = R

## Margin

Х

Х

### packing points into a sphere

(we're skipping lots of details)



### Summary and Thoughts • From analysis, SVM appears to minimize VC dimension

- - but bound assumes VC dimension is fixed
- Generalization bounds tend to be loose for real data sizes
- Formally describe trend, but are they useful?
  - Better (tighter) bounds are certainly useful
  - But loose bounds help us formally understand properties of learning algorithms