FOR RELEASE DECEMBER 15, 2015

Views of Government's Handling of Terrorism Fall to Post-9/11 Low

Little change in views of relationship between Islam and violence

FOR MEDIA OR OTHER INQUIRIES:

Carroll Doherty, Director of Political Research Bridget Jameson, Communications Associate

202.419.4372 www.pewresearch.org

Views of Government's Handling of Terrorism Fall to Post-9/11 Low

Little change in views of relationship between Islam and violence

Following the terrorist attacks in Paris and San Bernardino, Calif., the public's concerns about terrorism have surged and positive ratings of the government's handling of terrorism have


plummeted. But other attitudes relating to terrorism and security, as well as perceptions of whether Islam is more likely than other religions to encourage violence, have shown far less change.

The latest national survey by Pew Research Center, conducted Dec. 8-13 among 1,500 adults, finds that since the start of this year, the share of Americans who say the government is doing well in reducing the threat of terrorism has fallen by 26 percentage points – from 72% to 46% – and now stands at its lowest point in the post-9/11 era.

Approval of the way Barack Obama is handling the threat of terrorism also has declined, even as his overall job rating (currently 46%) – and his ratings on immigration, the economy and

For first time since 9/11, government's job rating on terrorism turns negative

How well is govt doing reducing threat of terrorism? (%)



PEW RESEARCH CENTER

other issues – is little changed. Just 37% approve of the way Obama is handling of terrorism while 57% disapprove, the lowest rating of his presidency for this issue.


Terrorism has reshaped the public's agenda, both at home and abroad. Currently, 29% cite terrorism (18%), national security (8%) or ISIS (7%) as the most important problem facing the country today. One year ago, just 4% of the public cited any of these issues. And while ISIS already ranked high among leading international dangers, 83% now regard ISIS as a major threat to the well-being of the U.S., up from 67% in August 2014.

Public concerns that anti-terrorism policies have gone too far in restricting civil liberties have fallen to their lowest level in five years (28%); twice as many (56%) now say their greater concern is that these policies have not gone far enough to adequately protect the country.

However, Americans are not much more likely today to support the use of U.S. ground forces against ISIS than they were before the recent terror attacks. Currently, 47% favor the use of U.S. ground forces against ISIS, little changed from July (44%). And overall concerns about the rise of Islamic extremism at home and abroad, while high, are no higher than they were in <u>September 2014</u>.

Growing partisan gap in views of whether Islam encourages violence

% who say the Islamic religion is more likely than others to encourage violence among its believers ...




Perceptions about the relationship between Islam and violence also have not changed significantly since last year – though these opinions, already politically polarized, have become even more so. Currently, 46% say the Islamic religion is more likely than others to encourage violence among its

believers; about as many (45%) say the Islamic religion does not encourage violence more than other religions.

About two-thirds (68%) of Republicans say Islam is more likely to encourage violence, little changed from September 2014 (67%), but the highest share saying this on a question that dates to 2002. In contrast, the share of Democrats associating Islam with violence has declined 12 percentage points since last year, from 42% to 30%.

In general, the public rejects by a wide margin the idea of holding U.S. Muslims to increased scrutiny because of their religion. About six-in-ten Americans (61%) say Muslims living in the United States should not be subject to additional scrutiny solely because of their religion; 32% say Muslims

Most say more scrutiny of Muslims not warranted



Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. **PEW RESEARCH CENTER**

should be subject to more scrutiny than people in other religious groups.

Conservative Republicans are the only major ideological, demographic or religious group in which a majority (57%) says Muslims in this country should be subject to greater scrutiny than those in other religious groups. Majorities of moderate and liberal Republicans (59%), independents (62%), conservative and moderate Democrats (67%), and liberal Democrats (87%) say Muslims should not receive greater scrutiny solely

because of their religion.

The survey finds that, as has been the case since 2002, the Republican Party has a sizable advantage over the Democrats on terrorism: 46% of the public says the Republican Party can do better in dealing with the terrorist threat at home, compared with 34% who favor the Democrats.


Republicans hold a narrower, six-point edge (43% to 37%) as the party better able to reflect people's views about gun control. The GOP had an even wider lead on this issue <u>in July</u> (48% to 36%).

Neither party has a significant advantage on the economy or immigration, while the

environment (53% to 30%).

GOP favored on terrorism, gun control; Democrats lead on climate change

Which party could do a better job ... (%)



Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Democratic Party holds wide leads over the GOP on both climate change (46% to 32%) and the

Perceptions of Islam and violence

Americans are divided about whether they see Islam as more likely than other religions to encourage violence among its believers: 46% say it is more likely, while 45% say it is not more likely. The share of the public saying that Islam is more likely than other religions to encourage violence has dropped four percentage points since a historical high of 50% in September 2014. For much of the past decade, public views on this measure have been closely divided.


The partisan divide over whether Islam encourages violence is now as wide as it has ever been. Fully 68% of Republicans say Islam encourages violence more than other religions, a historical high but little changed since last fall. By contrast, just 30% of Democrats say Islam is more likely to encourage violence than other religions, down from 42% in September 2014, but on par with Democratic opinion at other points in recent years.

Independents remain split over this question: 45% say Islam is more likely to encourage violence than other religions, while the same proportion (45%) say it is not.

Ideological divides are even starker, and growing: About three-quarters (77%) of conservative Republicans say that Islam is more likely to encourage violence than other religions (just 16% say it does not). Liberal Democratic opinion is nearly the inverse: 73% of liberal Democrats say Islam is no more

Does the Islamic religion encourage violence more than other religions?


% who say the Islamic religion is ...



PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Wide partisan divide over whether Islam is more likely to encourage violence

% who say the Islamic religion is more likely than others to encourage violence among its believers ...



likely than other religions to encourage violence, while 21% believe that it does.

The share of liberals saying Islam is more likely to encourage violence is down 14-points since the fall of 2014.

Just 32% of those ages 18 to 29 say Islam encourages violence to a greater degree than other faiths, compared with roughly half of those in other age groups. But the age gap in these views is narrower than it was last fall. Today, 51% of Americans 65 and older say Islam is more likely than other religions to encourage violence; last September, 64% said this.

The view that Islam is more violent than other religions is more likely to be held by less educated Americans: 51% of those who have not attended college say this, compared with 40% of those with college degrees and just 35% of those with postgraduate degrees.

Racial divides over these views persist. While only 30% of blacks and 40% of Hispanics say Islam is more likely than other religions to encourage violence, half of whites (50%) say this.

Seven-in-ten white evangelical Protestants say Islam encourages violence more than other religions, the highest percentage of any religious group and little changed from 2014. By comparison, about half of Catholics (49%) and white mainline Protestants (51%) say this.

Demographic divides in views of Islam and violence

% who say the Islamic religion is more likely than others to encourage violence among its believers ...

| 0 | | 0 | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| | July 2014 | Sept 2014 | Dec 2015 | Sept-Dec diff |
| | % | % | % | |
| Total | 43 | 50 | 46 | -4 |
| Men | 46 | 53 | 51 | -2 |
| Women | 40 | 48 | 41 | -7 |
| White | 47 | 53 | 50 | -3 |
| Black | 41 | 38 | 30 | -8 |
| Hispanic | 36 | 49 | 40 | -9 |
| 18-29 | 27 | 33 | 32 | -1 |
| 30-49 | 46 | 49 | 47 | -2 |
| 50-64 | 47 | 56 | 54 | -2 |
| 65+ | 52 | 64 | 51 | -13 |
| Postgrad | 41 | 44 | 35 | -9 |
| College grad | 40 | 50 | 40 | -10 |
| Some college | 41 | 49 | 47 | -2 |
| HS or less | 47 | 53 | 51 | -2 |
| Conservative Rep | 68 | 72 | 77 | +5 |
| Mod/Lib Rep | 44 | 52 | 51 | -1 |
| Cons/Mod Dem | 36 | 46 | 39 | -7 |
| Liberal Dem | 35 | 35 | 21 | -14 |
| Protestant | 53 | 59 | 55 | -4 |
| White evangelical | 64 | 70 | 70 | 0 |
| White mainline | 47 | 54 | 51 | -3 |
| Black Protestant | 40 | 43 | 33 | -10 |
| Catholic | 38 | 53 | 49 | -4 |
| Unaffiliated | 30 | 35 | 35 | 0 |
| | | | | |

Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015.

Whites and blacks include only those who are not Hispanic; Hispanics are of any race.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

And among the religiously unaffiliated, just 35% say Islam is more likely to encourage violence among its believers.

Little call for greater scrutiny of Muslims on religious grounds

About six-in-ten (61%) Americans say that there should not be additional scrutiny of Muslims


living in the U.S. solely based on their religion as a part of the federal government's efforts to prevent terrorism. About a third (32%) say Muslims should be subject to greater scrutiny than people in other religious groups.

There are wide partisan and ideological divisions on this question. Republicans are roughly evenly divided (49% greater scrutiny, 44% no additional scrutiny), while clear majorities of independents (62%) and Democrats (76%) say U.S. Muslims should not be subject to greater scrutiny because of their religion.

Conservative Republicans, in particular, stand apart from other groups as the sole partisan or ideological group in which a majority supports greater scrutiny of Muslims because of their religion: 57% say this, while just 35% say Muslims should not be subject to more attention. In contrast, 59% of moderate and liberal Republicans say they do not think Muslims should be subject to additional scrutiny; about a third (35%) say they should.

Should Muslims be subject to greater scrutiny because of their religion?

As part of the federal government's efforts to prevent terrorism, should Muslims living in the U.S. ... (%)



PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Liberal Democrats are particularly likely to reject the idea of subjecting Muslims living in the U.S. to closer examination than people of other faiths: 87% say Muslims should not be subject to greater scrutiny than those in other groups, while just 12% say they should. And by greater than two-to-one (67% to 27%), more conservative and moderate Democrats say Muslims should not be scrutinized more than others than say they should.

Eight-in-ten (80%) young adults (those 18-29) say scrutiny of U.S. Muslims solely because of their religion should not be a part of the federal government's efforts to prevent terrorism. And by about twoto-one (63% vs. 30%), those 30 to 49 years old also say this.


Views are more divided among those ages 50 and older: half (50%) say Muslims living in the U.S. should be subject to more scrutiny than people in other religious groups, while 41% say they should not be subject to additional scrutiny.

Non-whites are more likely than whites to reject the idea of scrutiny of Muslims based on religion: 74% of blacks, along with 66% of Hispanics say Muslims living in the U.S. should not face greater scrutiny solely because of their faith, compared with a narrower majority (57%) of whites.

Nearly seven-in-ten postgraduates (69%) and 65% of college graduates say Muslims should not be subject to greater scrutiny. Those without a college degree are somewhat more likely to say Muslims should face more scrutiny because of their religion (34% say this, while 59% say they should not).

Young people, minorities, less likely to say Muslims should receive greater scrutiny because of their faith

As part of the federal government's efforts to prevent terrorism, should Muslims living in the U.S. ... (%)



Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015.

Whites and blacks include only those who are not Hispanic; Hispanics are of any race Don't know responses not shown.

Majorities of those in most religious groups say Muslims should not face any more scrutiny as a result of their religion. White evangelicals are an exception, and are divided: 50% say Muslims living in the U.S. should be subject to more scrutiny, 43% say they should not.

'Terrorism' now most important problem facing the nation

Nearly three-in-ten (29%) Americans cite terrorism (18%), national security (8%) or ISIS (7%) as the most important problem facing the country today. One year ago, just 4% of the public cited any of these issues. The share citing terrorism is the highest it has been since February 2003.

The share of the public now mentioning economic issues is lower than at any point in the last eight years: 23% today name an economic issue such as the economy (9%) or unemployment (7%) as the most important problem facing the nation. In December 2014, 34% named an economic issue; nearly half (48%) did so two years ago.

In the wake of multiple high-profile mass shootings around the country, 5% of Americans now mention gun control (4%) or mass shootings (2%) as the most important problem today. A year ago, 1% mentioned this as the most important problem.

Growing share cite terrorism as the most important problem facing the U.S.

Most important problem facing nation ...

| | Dec 2014 % | Dec 2015 % | Change |
|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Terrorism | 1 | 18 | +17 |
| Economy (general) | 14 | 9 | -5 |
| Defense/National security | 2 | 8 | +6 |
| Immigration | 12 | 7 | -5 |
| Unemployment | 10 | 7 | -3 |
| ISIS/War in Iraq/War in Syria | 2 | 7 | +5 |
| Dissatisfaction with government, Obama | 10 | 6 | -4 |
| Gun control/Too many guns/ Mass shootings | 1 | 5 | +4 |
| Political gridlock/division | 8 | 5 | -3 |
| NET: Foreign/International NET: Terrorism/ISIS/ National security | 9 4 | 32 29 | +23 +25 |
| NET: Economic issues | | 23 23 | - <u>11</u> |
| | | | |

Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Note: see topline for all mentions and full trend.

Note: see topline for all mentions and full

There are wide partisan divides on the most important problem facing the nation. Four-inten (41%) Republicans mention terrorism, defense issues and national security or ISIS, while fewer independents (28%) and Democrats (23%) cite these issues.

Additionally, Republicans more commonly mention immigration as the most important problem (14%) than do independents (7%) or Democrats (3%). Democrats are more likely than Republicans to cite partisan gridlock and division in the country (8% of Democrats vs. 2% of Republicans).

More Republicans than Democrats cite immigration, terrorism as top problems

Most important problem facing nation ...

| | | | | R-D |
|---|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | Rep | Dem | Ind | diff |
| | % | % | % | |
| Defense/National security | 16 | 5 | 6 | R+11 |
| Immigration | 14 | 3 | 7 | R+11 |
| Terrorism | 24 | 16 | 18 | R+8 |
| ISIS/War in Iraq/War in Syria | 8 | 4 | 8 | R+4 |
| Economy (general) | 7 | 6 | 12 | R+1 |
| Dissatisfaction with government, Obama | 4 | 5 | 6 | D+1 |
| Gun control/Too many guns/ Mass shootings | 4 | 5 | 6 | D+1 |
| Unemployment | 4 | 7 | 8 | D+3 |
| Political gridlock/Division | 2 | 8 | 4 | D+6 |
| NET: Foreign/International NET: Terrorism/ISIS/ | 42 | 24 | 32 | R+18 |
| National security | 41 | 23 | 28 | R+18 |
| NET: Economic issues | 21 | 20 | 25 | D-1 |
| | | | | |
| Unweighted N | 198 | 207 | 290 | |

Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Differences in **bold** are statistically significant.

Views of how the government is handling the terrorist threat


Americans' ratings of the government's efforts to reduce the threat of terrorism are now lower than at any point since the September 2001 terrorist attacks. For the first time, more now say the government is doing not too well or not at all well in reducing the threat of terrorism (52%) than say the government is doing either very or fairly well (46%). Positive ratings have fallen 26 points since January (when 72% said very/fairly well).

Compared to early 2015, assessments of government efforts to combat terrorism are more negative across the political spectrum. Democrats are now the only partisan group in which a majority (64%) say the government is doing at least fairly well (down from 85% in January). Independents' positive ratings have dropped 25 points, from 69% to 44%. And just 27% of Republicans now say the government is doing very or fairly well reducing the terrorist threat, down from 63% at the beginning of the year.

The views of conservative Republicans, in particular, have turned sharply critical: In January, 59% said the government was doing very well or fairly well; today, only 18% say this.

Across-the-board drop in ratings of govt efforts to reduce terrorist threat

% saying govt is doing very/fairly well in reducing the threat of terrorism



Older and less educated Americans are somewhat more likely than younger and more highly educated Americans to give the government low marks for the job it is doing reducing the threat of terrorism.

Among those 50 and older, a majority (57%) say the government is not doing well reducing the terrorist threat (42% say that it is). In contrast, 46% of younger adults (those 18-29 years old) give the government's performance a negative rating, while 53% say it is doing very or fairly well.

Evaluations of the government's job reducing the threat of terrorism are more positive among and those with a postgraduate degree than among other educational groups: 58% say the government is doing very or fairly well, while 40% say it is doing not too or not at all well. By comparison, 48% of those with a bachelor's degree, and 44% of those with less education, rate the government's performance positively.

Older, less educated give more negative ratings of govt efforts against terrorism

How well is govt doing reducing threat of terrorism?


| | Very/Fairly well | Not too/Not at all well | DK |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| | % | % | % |
| Total | 46 | 52 | 2=100 |
| 18-29 | 53 | 46 | 2=100 |
| 30-49 | 47 | 51 | 2=100 |
| 50-64 | 43 | 56 | 1=100 |
| 65+ | 40 | 58 | 2=100 |
| Postgrad | 58 | 40 | 2=100 |
| College degree | 48 | 51 | 1=100 |
| Some college | 44 | 54 | 2=100 |
| HS or less | 43 | 55 | 2=100 |
| Republican | 27 | 72 | 1=100 |
| Conservative | 18 | 81 | *=100 |
| Mod/Liberal | 46 | 54 | 0=100 |
| Independent | 44 | 55 | 1=100 |
| Democrat | 64 | 34 | 2=100 |
| Conserv/Mod | 54 | 45 | 2=100 |
| Liberal | 76 | 23 | 1=100 |

Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Figures may not add to 100% because of rounding. Whites and blacks include only those who are not Hispanic; Hispanics are of any race.

By two-to-one, Americans now say that they are more concerned that the government's anti-terror policies have not gone far enough to protect the country (56%), rather than that these policies have gone too far in restricting the average person's civil liberties (28%). Since the start of the year, there has been a seven percentage-point rise in the share expressing concern that these policies have not gone far enough.

Concern over government restrictions on civil liberties has fallen dramatically since July 2013, following Edward Snowden's leaked details about NSA surveillance programs. At that time, more expressed concern that government policies had gone too far restricting civil liberties (47%) than that they did not go far enough to protect the country (35%).

More concern with country's protection than civil liberties



The share expressing greater concern that policies do not go far enough to protect the country is now roughly the same as the historical high seen in early 2010, shortly after the failed Christmas-Day terrorist attack on an airliner en route to Detroit (when 58% said policies did not go far enough). Both Republicans and Democrats have become more likely to say that the government's antiterrorism policies do not go far enough to protect the country (rather than that they have gone too far restricting civil liberties) since Snowden's disclosures in 2013. But the shift has been more pronounced among Republicans. Slightly more than seven-in-ten Republicans (71%) now say their greater concern is that anti-terrorism policies do not go far enough, up 14 points since January (57%) and 33 points since July 2013 (38%).


A narrower majority of Democrats (54%) now say their greater concern is that government policies do not go far enough, up somewhat since January and 16 points since 2013.

Today, similar proportions of conservative Republicans (71%), moderate and liberal Republicans (74%) and conservative and moderate Democrats (67%) say their greater concern is that anti-terrorism policies have not gone far enough. By contrast, equal shares of liberal Democrats say their greater concern is that policies have gone too far in restricting average people's civil liberties as say they worry more that these policies have not gone far enough to protect the country (41% each).

Adults under 30 are split between concerns that U.S. policies place too many restrictions on civil liberties (43%) and that they do not go far enough to protect the country (44%). Majorities in every other age group are more concerned about security than civil liberties, though this concern is more pronounced among those 65

Most Republicans say govt anti-terror policies have not gone 'far enough'

% saying govt anti-terrorism policies have not gone far enough to protect country ...



Young adults more concerned about civil liberties than older people

Bigger concern about govt anti-terrorism policies?

| | Too far in restricting civ libs | Not far enough to protect US | Other/ DK |
|-------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | % | % | % |
| Total | 28 | 56 | 16=100 |
| 18-29 | 43 | 44 | 13=100 |
| 30-49 | 32 | 52 | 16=100 |
| 50-64 | 21 | 60 | 20=100 |
| 65+ | 15 | 71 | 14=100 |

Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Figures may not add to 100% because of rounding.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

and older (71% say this) than those 30-49 (52%) or 50-64 (60%).

ISIS ranks high as the top global threat to U.S.

The Islamic militant group known as ISIS has risen higher atop the public's list of global threats to the U.S. Overall, 83% say ISIS is a major threat to the well-being of the United States, while 13%


say it is a minor threat and just 3% say it is not a threat. Since August 2014, the public has become 16 points more likely to view ISIS as a major threat to the U.S.

For the public, no other international concern comes close to posing the same level of threat as ISIS. Ranking second on the global threats list is Iran's nuclear program: 62% say this is a major threat to the well-being of the U.S. – 21 points fewer than say the same about ISIS.

And while major concern over ISIS is up 16 points from August 2014, no other concern has seen a significant rise in the share viewing it as a major threat to the U.S. since the summer of 2014.

ISIS rises higher atop public's threat list

% saying each is a major threat to well-being of the United States ...



PEW RESEARCH CENTER

The survey, which was in the field during the UN climate change conference in Paris, finds 49% say global climate change is a major threat to the U.S., little different than the 48% who said this in August 2014.

Concern over Russia has slipped since August 2014. Last summer, 53% viewed growing tension between Russia and its neighbors as a major threat to the U.S. In the current survey, fewer (42%) say growing authoritarianism in Russia is a major threat.

There continue to be wide partisan differences in views of the top international concerns for the U.S. Overall, Republicans are more likely than Democrats to see each of these issues as a major threat to the well-being of the U.S.—with the notable exception of climate change.

Fully 93% of Republicans view ISIS as a major threat compared with 79% of Democrats and independents. Since August 2014, concern over ISIS has risen about equally across the political spectrum and the partisan gap is no larger today than it was then.

Republicans are also more likely than Democrats and independents to view Iran's nuclear program, the conflict between the Israelis and the Palestinians, China's emergence as a world power, North Korea's nuclear program and growing authoritarianism in Russia as major threats to the U.S.

By contrast, on the issue of global climate change, far more Democrats (73%) than

independents (49%) or Republicans (22%) view this as a major threat to the U.S. The 51-point gap in the share of Democrats and Republicans who view global climate change as a major threat is as large a gap measured since Pew Research began asking the question in the fall of 2009.

Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Partisan differences in views of top threats to U.S.

Republican Democrat Independent **R-D diff** 79 Iran's nuclear program +27 60 56 Israeli-Palestinian conflict 38 +18 39 62 China's emergence as a 44 +18 world power 46 93 The Islamic militant group in 79 +14 Iraq and Syria, known as ISIS 79 70 North Korea's nuclear +13 program 53 50 Growing authoritarianism in +1040 Russia 40 22 Global climate change 73 -51 49

% saying each is a major threat to well-being of the United States ...

Views of the U.S. campaign against ISIS


The recent attacks in Paris, France and San Bernardino, Calif. have not led to a fundamental shift in how the public views the U.S. military campaign against Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria.

Overall, a 64%-majority continues to say they approve of the U.S. military campaign against Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria, while just 28% say they disapprove. Support for the U.S. military effort has been steady over the course of 2015.

Democrats (67%) and Republicans (69%) continue to be about equally likely to approve of the military campaign. Slightly fewer (60%) independents express approval.

Most continue to support U.S. military campaign against ISIS

% who _____ of the U.S. military campaign against Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria



Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015.

Don't know responses not shown.

* September 2014 survey asked about Barack Obama's plan for a military campaign against militants in Iraq and Syria. August 2014 survey asked about U.S. airstrikes against militants in Iraq only.

Current assessments of U.S. military campaign, prospects for success

Current ratings of how well the U.S. military effort against ISIS is going remain negative, but there has been an uptick in the view that the U.S. and its allies will ultimately be successful.

About six-in-ten (58%) say the U.S. effort against Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria is going either not too well (39%) or not at all well (19%); 35% say the campaign is going either very (7%) or fairly (28%) well. Views have changed little on this question over the course of the past year, with majorities consistently offering negative assessments of the current state of the campaign.


Views are more positive when it comes to whether the U.S. and its allies will succeed or fail in their military campaign against ISIS.

Two-thirds (66%) now say they think the U.S. and its allies will either definitely or probably succeed in their campaign against Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria, while just 27% say they will definitely or probably fail. The share who say the U.S. and its allies will succeed is up 11 points from July (from 55%).

There are wide partisan divides in current assessments of the campaign against ISIS, but more modest ones in predictions of its ultimate success: 45% of Democrats, compared with 33% of independents and just 26% of Republicans say the campaign is going at least fairly well. Six-in-ten or more Republicans (65%), Democrats (72%) and independents (62%) say it will ultimately be successful.

Few say current military effort in Iraq and Syria is going well

% who say U.S. military campaign against Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria is going ...




Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Don't know responses not shown.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

More long-term optimism in ISIS fight

% who say U.S. and its allies will _____ in their military campaign against Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria



Partisans differ over greater concern about engagement, ground troops

Slightly more now say their greater concern about U.S. military action in Iraq and Syria is that the U.S. will not go far enough in stopping the militants (50%) than go too far getting involved in the

situation (42%). However, these views have shown little change from July, when 48% were more concerned about not going far enough and 43% were more concerned about getting too involved in the situation.

Three-quarters of Republicans (75%) say their greater concern about military action in Iraq and Syria is that the U.S. will not go far enough in stopping the Islamic militants, while just 18% say their greater concern is that the U.S. will become too involved.

By contrast, Democrats (61%) say they are more concerned that the U.S. will go too far getting involved in Iraq and Syria than that the U.S. will not go far enough in stopping the militants there (33%).


Conservative Republicans, in particular, express more concern that the U.S. will not go far enough to stop ISIS: 81% say this; only 12% say their greater concern is the U.S. will become too involved. Conversely, two-thirds (67%) of liberal Democrats express more concern that the U.S. will become too involved, while only about a quarter (27%) say their greater concern is that it won't go far enough. These partisan and ideological divides are slightly more pronounced than they were in July.

Concerns over U.S. involvement in Iraq and Syria also differ by age. Adults under the age of 30 express more concern about the U.S. going too far in getting involved in the situation (55%) than

Bigger concern: Will U.S. go too far or not far enough in Iraq and Syria?

% who say their bigger concern about military action in Iraq and Syria is that the U.S. ...

Will go too far getting involved in situation



Figures may not add to 100% because of rounding.


not going far enough to stop the Islamic militants (37%). All older age groups say they are more concerned about the U.S. not doing enough to stop Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria than getting too involved in the situation.

The public is divided over the question of sending U.S. ground troops to fight Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria: 47% are in favor while an identical percentage is opposed. Views are little different than in July, when 49% were opposed and 44% were in favor of sending U.S. ground troops to Iraq and Syria.

Wide partisan divides exist over whether the U.S. should send ground troops. Two-thirds of Republicans (66%) – including 72% of conservative Republicans – say they would favor sending U.S. ground troops to Iraq and Syria, while just 28% are opposed. Among Democrats, views are the reverse: 64% oppose sending ground troops to Iraq and Syria, 33% are in favor. Among independents, about as many favor (48%) as oppose (47%) the use of U.S. ground forces to fight Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria. Views among partisan groups are little different than they were in July 2015.


Public split over use of ground troops

% who say they would _____ the U.S. sending ground troops to fight Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria



Two-thirds of Republicans favor sending U.S. ground troops to Iraq and Syria

% who say they would _____ the U.S. sending ground troops to fight Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria




Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Figures may not add to 100% because of rounding.

The public is divided over the best approach to confronting global terrorism. Overall, 45% say "using overwhelming military force is the best way to defeat terrorism around the world," while about as many (46%) say "relying too much on military force to defeat terrorism creates hatred that leads to more terrorism."


These attitudes are little changed from earlier this year, but the balance of opinion has shifted since early 2014, before ISIS registered as a major threat for the public. In March 2014, more said relying too much on force creates hatred (57%), compared with 37% who say overwhelming military force was the best way to defeat terrorism. Views were similar to those in 2014 when the question was previously asked in 2011 and 2004.

There are wide partisan differences on the question of force and global terrorism. Democrats are far more likely to say relying too much on force creates hatred that leads to more terrorism than to say using overwhelming military force is the best way to defeat terrorism around the world (66%-27%). By contrast, Republicans are more likely to see overwhelming force as the best way to defeat terrorism by a 72%-18% margin. Independents are more divided: 49% say relying too much on force creates hatred, while 43% say overwhelming military force is the best way to defeat terrorism around the world.

Both Republicans' and independents' support for overwhelming military force as the best



Most Republicans see overwhelming military force as best to defeat terrorism



PEW RESEARCH CENTER

way to defeat terrorism rose sharply in <u>February of this year</u>, compared with surveys over the last decade. Since February 2015, however, partisan views have shown little change.


While the public is divided on the wisdom of using overwhelming force to defeat terrorism, most see the U.S. as doing more good than harm when it comes to confronting world problems more generally.

Overall, 55% say that problems in the world would be even worse without U.S. involvement, compared with fewer (38%) who say U.S. efforts to solve problems around the world usually end up making things worse. Views on this question are unchanged from March of last year, the first time it was asked by the Pew Research Center.

Comparable majorities of both Republicans (62%) and Democrats (56%) say world problems would be worse without U.S. involvement.

But there is an ideological divide on this question within the Democratic Party. By two to one (62%-31%) more conservative

Most say U.S. efforts to solve global problems do more good than harm



and moderate Democrats say problems in the world would be worse without U.S. efforts. Among liberal Democrats, about as many say U.S. efforts to solve problems usually end up making things worse (45%) as say problems in the world would be even worse without U.S. involvement (50%).

Concern over Islamic extremism at home and abroad


Overall, the public continues to worry about Islamic extremism around the world and in the U.S. About six-inten (62%) say they are very concerned about the rise of Islamic extremism around the world, and just about half (49%) say the same about the possible rise of Islamic extremism in the U.S.

Comparable percentages said they were very concerned about the rise of Islamic extremism in September of last year. These are among the highest levels of concerns measured in the questions' trend dating to 2007.

Republicans remain more likely than Democrats to say they are very concerned with Islamic extremism around the world (83% vs. 53%) and at home (65% vs. 38%).

Concern over Islamic extremism __ concerned about the rise of ... % who say they are _ Islamic extremism around the world Islamic extremism in the U.S. 62 62 ⁵³ 49 Ω 48 46 37 0 36 22 25 0 O 36 32 33 30 24 20 20 18 17 17 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15

% who say they are very concerned about the rise of ...



Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Don't know responses not shown.

Obama's rating on dealing with terrorist threat slides

The public continues to have divided views of Barack Obama's job performance: 46% approve and 49% disapprove of the way he is handling his job as president. His overall rating is little changed over the course of 2015.


Obama's ratings for handling the economy (43% approve) and immigration policy (37% approve) are more negative than positive. He receives mixed ratings for his handling of global climate change (45% approve, 40% disapprove) and race relations (44% approve, 47% disapprove).

And for the first time in his presidency, more Americans say they disapprove of the way Obama is handling the threat of terrorism (57%) than approve (37%).

Over the course of his first term, the public generally gave Obama positive marks for his handling of the threat of terrorism, peaking at 69% approval in May 2011 following the killing of Osama bin Laden. But his ratings were divided earlier this year (46% approval in May) and now are in negative territory.

Obama's performance on key issues


Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling ... (%)



PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Majority of Americans now disapprove of Obama's handling of terrorism

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling the threat of terrorism (%)



Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Don't know responses not shown.

Views of Obama's handling of the threat of terrorism have always been strongly associated with partisanship. And his ratings have dropped across all partisan groups.


Today, Democrats continue to largely approve of Obama's handling of the terrorist threat, though to a lesser extent than earlier in his presidency. Nearly two-thirds (64%) of Democrats now say they approve, while 29% disapprove. In May, 72% of Democrats approved of his handling of the terrorist threat.

Only 11% of Republicans approve of Obama's handling of the threat posed by terrorism while nearly nine-in-ten (87%) disapprove.

Approval on this issue among independents has also reached a historical low. Only a third of independents (33%) now approve of the way Obama is handling the threat of terrorism; roughly six-in-ten (61%) offer a negative appraisal.

Obama's rating on handling of terrorist threat drops across the board

% who approve of the way Barack Obama is handling the threat of terrorism ...



Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Don't know responses not shown.

More say Obama 'not tough enough' on foreign policy

The public has long been more likely to say Barack Obama is "not tough enough" on foreign policy and national security (58% currently) than say his approach is "about right" (34%) or "too tough" (just 2%). The share now saying Obama is not tough enough is up five percentage points from July (53%), though little different from views at the beginning of the year (55%).

Majority says Obama is 'not tough enough' on foreign policy

% saying when it comes to foreign policy and national security, Obama is ...

| | June 2009 | April 2010 | Sept 2012 | Nov 2013 | Aug 2014 | Jan 2015 | July 2015 | Dec 2015 |
|------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | |
| Too tough | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Not tough enough | 38 | 47 | 41 | 51 | 54 | 55 | 53 | 58 |
| About right | 51 | 41 | 42 | 37 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 34 |
| Don't know | <u>8</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>15</u> | <u>6</u> | 7 | <u>3</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>6</u> |
| | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Figures may not add to 100% because of rounding.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Fully 84% of Republicans say

Obama's approach to foreign

policy is not tough enough. A 61% majority of independents say the same (30% say it is about right).

Most Democrats (58%) view Obama's approach as about right, while 35% say it is not tough enough (just 2% say it is too tough). Among conservative and moderate Democrats, 45% say Obama is not tough enough, compared with just 26% of liberal Democrats.

Partisan views on Obama's approach to foreign policy

% saying Barack Obama is _____ in his approach to foreign policy and national security issues ...

| | Too tough | Not tough enough | About right | DK |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| | % | % | % | % |
| Total | 2 | 58 | 34 | 6=100 |
| Republican | 1 | 84 | 9 | 6=100 |
| Conservative | 1 | 89 | 4 | 5=100 |
| Mod/Liberal | 1 | 72 | 19 | 7=100 |
| Independent | 3 | 61 | 30 | 6=100 |
| Democrat | 2 | 35 | 58 | 5=100 |
| Conserv/Mod | 3 | 45 | 47 | 6=100 |
| Liberal | 2 | 26 | 69 | 3=100 |

Source: Survey conducted Dec. 8-13, 2015. Figures may not add to 100% because of rounding.

Methodology

The analysis in this report is based on telephone interviews conducted December 8-13, 2015 among a national sample of 1,500 adults, 18 years of age or older, living in all 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia (525 respondents were interviewed on a landline telephone, and 975 were interviewed on a cell phone, including 582 who had no landline telephone). The survey was conducted by interviewers at Princeton Data Source under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International. A combination of landline and cell phone random digit dial samples were used; both samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish. Respondents in the landline sample were selected by randomly asking for the youngest adult male or female who is now at home. Interviews in the cell sample were conducted with the person who answered the phone, if that person was an adult 18 years of age or older. For detailed information about our survey methodology, see <u>http://www.pewresearch.org/methodology/u-s-survey-research/</u>

The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative technique that matches gender, age, education, race, Hispanic origin and nativity and region to parameters from the 2013 Census Bureau's American Community Survey and population density to parameters from the Decennial Census. The sample also is weighted to match current patterns of telephone status (landline only, cell phone only, or both landline and cell phone), based on extrapolations from the 2014 National Health Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability of being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size among respondents with a landline phone. The margins of error reported and statistical tests of significance are adjusted to account for the survey's design effect, a measure of how much efficiency is lost from the weighting procedures.

| Group | Unweighted sample size | Plus or minus |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Total sample | 1,500 | 2.9 percentage points |
| Republican | 416 | 5.5 percentage points |
| Conservative Republican | 291 | 6.6 percentage points |
| Moderate/Liberal Republican | 121 | 10.2 percentage points |
| Independent | 557 | 4.8 percentage points |
| Democrat | 446 | 5.3 percentage points |
| Conserv/Moderate Democrat | 217 | 7.6 percentage points |
| Liberal Democrat | 222 | 7.5 percentage points |
| Form 1 | 731 | 4.2 percentage points |
| Form 2 | 769 | 4.1 percentage points |

The following table shows the unweighted sample sizes and the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the 95% level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

Sample sizes and sampling errors for other subgroups are available upon request.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

Pew Research Center is a nonprofit, tax-exempt 501(c)(3) organization and a subsidiary of The Pew Charitable Trusts, its primary funder.

© Pew Research Center, 2015

PEW RESEARCH CENTER DECEMBER 2015 POLITICAL SURVEY FINAL TOPLINE DECEMBER 8-13, 2015 N=1,500

RANDOMIZE Q.1 AND Q.2

ASK ALL:

Q.1 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President? **[IF DK ENTER AS DK. IF DEPENDS PROBE ONCE WITH:** Overall do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President? **IF STILL DEPENDS ENTER AS DK]**

| | <u>Approve</u> | Dis- approve | (VOL.) DK/Ref | | <u>Approve</u> | Dis- approve | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Dec 8-13, 2015 | 46 | 49 | 4 | Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 | 43 | 48 | 9 |
| Sep 22-27, 2015 | 46 | 48 | 5 | Aug 17-21, 2011 | 43 | 49 | 7 |
| Jul 14-20, 2015 | 48 | 45 | 7 | Jul 20-24, 2011 | 44 | 48 | 8 |
| May 12-18, 2015 | 46 | 48 | 6 | Jun 15-19, 2011 | 46 | 45 | 8 |
| Mar 25-29, 2015 | 46 | 47 | 7 | May 25-30, 2011 | 52 | 39 | 10 |
| Feb 18-22, 2015 | 48 | 46 | 5 | May 5-8, 2011 | 50 | 39 | 11 |
| Jan 7-11, 2015 | 47 | 48 | 5 | May 2, 2011 (WP) | 56 | 38 | 6 |
| Dec 3-7, 2014 (U) | 42 | 51 | 6 | Mar 30-Apr 3, 2011 | 47 | 45 | 8 |
| Nov 6-9, 2014 | 43 | 52 | 5 | Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 | 51 | 39 | 10 |
| Oct 15-20, 2014 | 43 | 51 | 6 | Feb 2-7, 2011 | 49 | 42 | 9 |
| Sep 2-9, 2014 | 42 | 50 | 8 | Jan 5-9, 2011 | 46 | 44 | 10 |
| Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) | 42 | 50 | 8 | Dec 1-5, 2010 | 45 | 43 | 13 |
| Jul 8-14, 2014 | 44 | 49 | 6 | Nov 4-7, 2010 | 44 | 44 | 12 |
| Apr 23-27, 2014 (U) | 44 | 50 | 7 | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 46 | 45 | 9 |
| Feb 27-Mar 16, 2014 | 44 | 49 | 7 | Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 47 | 44 | 9 |
| Feb 14-23, 2014 | 44 | 48 | 8 | Jul 21-Aug 5, 2010 | 47 | 41 | 12 |
| Jan 15-19, 2014 (U) | 43 | 49 | 8 | Jun 8-28, 2010 | 48 | 41 | 11 |
| Dec 3-8, 2013 (U) | 45 | 49 | 6 | Jun 16-20, 2010 | 48 | 43 | 9 |
| Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 | 41 | 53 | 6 | May 6-9, 2010 | 47 | 42 | 11 |
| Oct 9-13, 2013 | 43 | 51 | 6 | Apr 21-26, 2010 | 47 | 42 | 11 |
| Sep 4-8, 2013 (U) | 44 | 49 | 8 | Apr 8-11, 2010 | 48 | 43 | 9 |
| Jul 17-21, 2013 | 46 | 46 | 7 | Mar 10-14, 2010 | 46 | 43 | 12 |
| Jun 12-16, 2013 | 49 | 43 | 7 | Feb 3-9, 2010 | 49 | 39 | 12 |
| May 1-5, 2013 | 51 | 43 | 6 | Jan 6-10, 2010 | 49 | 42 | 10 |
| Mar 13-17, 2013 | 47 | 46 | 8 | Dec 9-13, 2009 | 49 | 40 | 11 |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 (U) | 51 | 41 | 7 | Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 51 | 36 | 13 |
| Jan 9-13, 2013 | 52 | 40 | 7 | Sep 30-Oct 4, 2009 | 52 | 36 | 12 |
| Dec 5-9, 2012 | 55 | 39 | 6 | Sep 10-15, 2009 | 55 | 33 | 13 |
| Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 | 50 | 43 | 7 | Aug 20-27, 2009 | 52 | 37 | 12 |
| Jun 7-17, 2012 | 47 | 45 | 8 | Aug 11-17, 2009 | 51 | 37 | 11 |
| May 9-Jun 3, 2012 | 46 | 42 | 11 | Jul 22-26, 2009 | 54 | 34 | 12 |
| Apr 4-15, 2012 | 46 | 45 | 9 | Jun 10-14, 2009 | 61 | 30 | 9 |
| Mar 7-11, 2012 | 50 | 41 | 9 | Apr 14-21, 2009 | 63 | 26 | 11 |
| Feb 8-12, 2012 | 47 | 43 | 10 | Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009 | 61 | 26 | 13 |
| Jan 11-16, 2012 | 44 | 48 | 8 | Mar 9-12, 2009 | 59 | 26 | 15 |
| Dec 7-11, 2011 | 46 | 43 | 11 | Feb 4-8, 2009 | 64 | 17 | 19 |
| Nov 9-14, 2011 | 46 | 46 | 8 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

See past presidents' approval trends: George W. Bush, Bill Clinton

RANDOMIZE Q.1 AND Q.2

ASK ALL:

Q.2 All in all, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in this country today?

| | Satis- | | (VOL.) | | Satis- | | (VOL.) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Dec 8-13, 2015 | <u>fied</u> 25 | <u>satisfied</u> 72 | <u>DK/Ref</u> 3 | Sep 10-15, 2009 ¹ | <u>fied</u> 30 | <u>satisfied</u> 64 | <u>DK/Ref</u> 7 |
| Aug 27-Oct 4, 2015 | 27 | 67 | 5 | Aug 20-27, 2009 | 28 | 65 | 7 |
| Sep 22-27, 2015 | 27 | 69 | 4 | Aug 11-17, 2009 | 28 | 65 | , 7 |
| Jul 14-20, 2015 | 31 | 64 | 4 | Jul 22-26, 2009 | 28 | 66 | 6 |
| May 12-18, 2015 | 29 | 67 | 4 | Jun 10-14, 2009 | 30 | 64 | 5 |
| Mar 25-29, 2015 | 31 | 64 | 5 | Apr 28-May 12, 2009 | 34 | 58 | 8 |
| Feb 18-22, 2015 | 33 | 62 | 5 | Apr 14-21, 2009 | 23 | 70 | 7 |
| Jan 7-11, 2015 | 31 | 66 | 4 | Jan 7-11, 2009 | 20 | 73 | 7 |
| Dec 3-7, 2014 (U) | 26 | 71 | 3 | December, 2008 | 13 | 83 | 4 |
| Nov 6-9, 2014 | 27 | 68 | 4 | Early October, 2008 | 11 | 86 | 3 |
| Oct 15-20, 2014 | 29 | 65 | 6 | Mid-September, 2008 | 25 | 69 | 6 |
| Sep 2-9, 2014 | 25 | 71 | 4 | August, 2008 | 21 | 74 | 5 |
| Aug 20-24, 2014 | 24 | 72 | 4 | July, 2008 | 19 | 74 | 7 |
| Jul 8-14, 2014 | 29 | 68 | 4 | June, 2008 | 19 | 76 | 5 |
| Apr 23-27, 2014 | 29 | 65 | 6 | Late May, 2008 | 18 | 76 | 6 |
| Feb 12-26, 2014 | 28 | 66 | 6 | March, 2008 | 22 | 72 | 6 |
| Jan 15-19, 2014 | 26 | 69 | 5 | Early February, 2008 | 24 | 70 | 6 |
| Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 | 21 | 75 | 3 | Late December, 2007 | 27 | 66 | 7 |
| Oct 9-13, 2013 | 14 | 81 | 5 | October, 2007 | 28 | 66 | 6 |
| Jul 17-21, 2013 | 27 | 67 | 6 | February, 2007 | 30 | 61 | 9 |
| May 1-5, 2013 | 30 | 65 | 5 | Mid-January, 2007 | 32 | 61 | 7 |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 (U) | 31 | 64 | 5 | Early January, 2007 | 30 | 63 | 7 |
| Jan 9-13, 2013 | 30 | 66 | 4 | December, 2006 | 28 | 65 | 7 |
| Dec 17-19, 2012 | 25 | 68 | 7 | Mid-November, 2006 | 28 | 64 | 8 |
| Dec 5-9, 2012 | 33 | 62 | 5 | Early October, 2006 | 30 | 63 | 7 |
| Oct 18-21, 2012 | 32 | 61 | 8 | July, 2006 | 30 | 65 | 5 |
| Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 | 31 | 64 | 5 | May, 2006* | 29 | 65 | 6 |
| Jun 7-17, 2012 | 28 | 68 | 5 | March, 2006 | 32 | 63 | 5 |
| May 9-Jun 3, 2012 | 29 | 64 | 7 | January, 2006 | 34 | 61 | 5 |
| Apr 4-15, 2012 | 24 | 69 | 6 | Late November, 2005 | 34 | 59 | 7 |
| Feb 8-12, 2012 | 28 | 66 | 6 | Early October, 2005 | 29 | 65 | 6 |
| Jan 11-16, 2012 | 21 | 75 | 4 | July, 2005 | 35 | 58 | 7 |
| Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 | 17 | 78 | 5 | Late May, 2005* | 39 | 57 | 4 |
| Aug 17-21, 2011 | 17 | 79 70 | 4 | February, 2005 | 38 | 56 | 6 6 |
| Jul 20-24, 2011 | 17 | 79 72 | 4 | January, 2005 | 40 | 54 | |
| Jun 15-19, 2011 | 23 30 | 73 62 | 4 | December, 2004 Mid-October, 2004 | 39 36 | 54 58 | 7 6 |
| May 5-8, 2011 | 30 | 60 | 8 | | 38 | 55 | 7 |
| May 2, 2011 Mar 8-14, 2011 | 22 | 73 | 8 5 | July, 2004 May, 2004 | 33 | 61 | 6 |
| Feb 2-7, 2011 | 26 | 68 | 5 | Late February, 2004* | 39 | 55 | 6 |
| Jan 5-9, 2011 | 23 | 71 | 6 | Early January, 2004 | 45 | 48 | 7 |
| Dec 1-5, 2010 | 21 | 72 | 7 | December, 2003 | 44 | 47 | 9 |
| Nov 4-7, 2010 | 23 | 69 | 8 | October, 2003 | 38 | 56 | 6 |
| Sep 23-26, 2010 | 30 | 63 | 7 | August, 2003 | 40 | 53 | 7 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 25 | 71 | 5 | April 8, 2003 | 50 | 41 | 9 |
| Jun 24-27, 2010 | 27 | 64 | 9 | January, 2003 | 44 | 50 | 6 |
| May 13-16, 2010 | 28 | 64 | 7 | November, 2002 | 41 | 48 | 11 |
| Apr 21-26, 2010 | 29 | 66 | 5 | September, 2002 | 41 | 55 | 4 |
| Apr 1-5, 2010 | 31 | 63 | 6 | Late August, 2002 | 47 | 44 | 9 |
| Mar 11-21, 2010 | 25 | 69 | 5 | May, 2002 | 44 | 44 | 12 |
| Mar 10-14, 2010 | 23 | 71 | 7 | March, 2002 | 50 | 40 | 10 |
| Feb 3-9, 2010 | 23 | 71 | 6 | , | | | - |
| Jan 6-10, 2010 | 27 | 69 | 4 | | | | |
| Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 25 | 67 | 7 | ¹ In September 10-15, 2009 an asterisk, the question wa | | | |
| Sep 30-Oct 4, 2009 | 25 | 67 | 7 | satisfied or dissatisfied with | | | |

satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in our country today?"

| Q.2 CONTINUED | Satis- fied | Dis- satisfied | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Late September, 2001 | 57 | 34 | 9 |
| Early September, 2001 | 41 | 53 | 6 |
| June, 2001 | 43 | 52 | 5 |
| March, 2001 | 47 | 45 | 8 |
| February, 2001 | 46 | 43 | 11 |
| January, 2001 | 55 | 41 | 4 |
| October, 2000 (RVs) | 54 | 39 | 7 |
| September, 2000 | 51 | 41 | 8 |
| June, 2000 | 47 | 45 | 8 |
| April, 2000 | 48 | 43 | 9 |
| August, 1999 | 56 | 39 | 5 |
| January, 1999 | 53 | 41 | 6 |
| November, 1998 | 46 | 44 | 10 |
| Early September, 1998 | 54 | 42 | 4 |
| Late August, 1998 | 55 | 41 | 4 |
| Early August, 1998 | 50 | 44 | 6 |
| February, 1998 | 59 | 37 | 4 |
| January, 1998 | 46 | 50 | 4 |
| September, 1997 | 45 | 49 | 6 |
| August, 1997 | 49 | 46 | 5 |

| January, 1989 45 50 5 September, 1988 (RVs) 50 45 5 | May Jan Jan Nov Gall Aug May Jan | | 47 41 45 | 75 71 50 68 61 31 48 54 54 50 45 | 5 7 11 4 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 |
|--|---|--|----------------|--|---|
|--|---|--|----------------|--|---|

NO QUESTIONS 3-6

ASK FORM 1 ONLY (N=731):

What do you think is the most important problem facing the country today? **[RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSE. PROBE FOR CLARITY – DO NOT PROBE FOR ADDITIONAL MENTIONS. IF MORE** Q.7 THAN ONE MENTION, RECORD IN ORDER OF MENTION]

| Dec 8-13 | | (U) Dec | | Dec | Oct | Mar | Dec | May | Jan | Aug | Feb | Aug | Feb |
|-------------|---|------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2015 | | 2014 | ² <u>14</u> | <u>12</u> | <u>12</u> | <u>12</u> | <u>11</u> | <u>11</u> | <u>11</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>09</u> | <u>09</u> |
| 18 | Terrorism | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Economy (general) | 14 | 16 | 18 | 25 | 24 | 19 | 28 | 27 | 35 | 24 | 27 | 53 |
| 8 | Defense/national security | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Immigration | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Unemployment/Lack of jobs | 10 | 20 | 12 | 25 | 31 | 21 | 26 | 35 | 27 | 31 | 19 | 31 |
| 7 | ISIS/War in Iraq/War in Syria ³ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| | Dissatisfaction with govt/politics/ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Corruption/Obama | 10 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | Parties/Gridlock/Divisions in country | 8 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |
| 4 | Crime/Violence/Justice system | 6 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Gun control/Too many guns | | 1 | 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Deficit/National debt/Balanced | _ | _ | | _ | _ | _ | | | _ | | _ | |
| 3 | budget/Govt spending | 3 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 4 |
| 3 | Race relations/Racism | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| - | Health care/costs/accessibility/ | - | | | _ | _ | | - | | | | | _ |
| 3 | Affordable Care Act/Obamacare | 6 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 13 | 20 | 3 |
| 3 | Distribution of wealth/Inequality | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | | | | |
| 3 | Education/Schools/Affording educ. | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | Morality/Ethics/Family values | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | Poverty/Hunger/Starvation | 2 2 | 4 1 | 1 | 1 2 | 1 | 2 1 | 1 2 | 1 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 2 |
| 2 | Inflation/Prices/Cost of living/Wages | | 1 | 1 2 | 2 | 1 | T | 2 | 2 | 1 | | | 2 |
| 2 2 | School shootings/Mass shootings ⁴ | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Environment/Global warming Lack of humanity/On wrong track | 1 | 1 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Donald Trump | | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Foreign policy (general) | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Too much regulation | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Welfare abuse/Govt dependency | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| 1 | Lack of leadership | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | | | | | | |
| T | Too much foreign aid/Lack of attention | _ | T | T | T | | 2 | | | | | | |
| 1 | problems at home | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| - | Risky bank loans/Sub-prime loans/ | 1 | 2 | | - | - | - | - | | | | | |
| 1 | People taking on too much debt | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Jobs moving overseas/Trade | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | Other | 9 | - | - | | - | - | - | - | | 2 | - | - |
| 2 | Don't know/No answer | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| 23 | (NET) ECONOMIC | 34 | 48 | 47 | 58 | 66 | 55 | 68 | 62 | 65 | 65 | 55 | 80 |
| | (NET) FOREIGN ISSUES/ | | - | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | INTERNATIONAL | 9 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Total exceeds 100% because of multiple responses.

NO QUESTIONS 8-10, 12-14

QUESTION 11 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

²

Complete trend not shown; trends available to 1987. In December 2014 and earlier, "ISIS/War in Iraq/War in Syria" was shown as "War/War in Iraq/War in Afghanistan." In December 2012, "School shootings/Mass shootings" was shown as "School shootings (Newtown, CT)." 3

⁴

ASK ALL:

Now thinking about how Barack Obama is handling some issues ... Q.15 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling [INSERT ITEM, RANDOMIZE]? How about [NEXT ITEM]? [REPEAT INTRODUCTION AS NECESSARY]

| a. | Race relations | <u>Approve</u> | <u>Disapprove</u> | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> |
|----|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| а. | Dec 8-13, 2015 | 44 | 47 | 8 |
| | Jul 14-20, 2015 | 48 | 43 | 9 |
| | May 12-18, 2015 | 48 | 44 | 8 |
| | Dec 3-7, 2014 (U) | 40 | 50 | 11 |
| | Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) | 48 | 42 | 10 |
| | , ag 20 21, 2011 (0) | 10 | 12 | 10 |
| b. | The threat of terrorism Obama | | | |
| | Dec 8-13, 2015 | 37 | 57 | 6 |
| | May 12-18, 2015 | 47 | 46 | 6 |
| | Dec 3-7, 2014 | 45 | 49 | 6 |
| | Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 | 51 | 44 | 5 |
| | Jun 12-16, 2013 | 56 | 35 | 9 |
| | Jan 11-16, 2012 | 65 | 28 | 7 |
| | Aug 17-21, 2011 | 56 | 33 | 12 |
| | May 2, 2011 | 69 | 21 | 10 |
| | Jan 6-9, 2011 | 55 | 33 | 12 |
| | May 6-9, 2010 ⁵ | 49 | 37 | 13 |
| | Jan 6-10, 2010 | 51 | 39 | 10 |
| | Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 52 | 34 | 14 |
| | April 14-21, 2009 | 57 | 26 | 17 |
| | February 4-8, 2009 | 50 | 21 | 29 |
| | G.W. Bush | 4.5 | 40 | |
| | April, 2007 | 46 | 43 | 11 |
| | December, 2006 | 48 | 44 | 8 |
| | August, 2006 | 50 | 39 | 11 |
| | June, 2006 | 47 | 41 | 12 |
| | March, 2006 | 42 | 49 | 9 |
| | February, 2006 | 53 | 40 | 7 7 |
| | December, 2005 | 49 | 44 | |
| | Late October, 2005 | 52 | 40 | 8 |
| | Early September, 2005 | 49 | 41 | 10 |
| | July, 2005 | 49 | 40 | 11 |
| | Mid-May, 2005 | 57 | 35 | 8 7 |
| | February, 2005 | 59 62 | 34 | 5 |
| | January, 2005 Mid-Octobor, 2004 | 49 | 33 40 | 11 |
| | Mid-October, 2004 | 62 | 32 | |
| | Early September, 2004 | 62 58 | | 6 5 |
| | August, 2004 | 58 | 37 40 | 6 |
| | July, 2004 | - | | |
| | June, 2004 | 56 | 35 | 9 9 |
| | Late April, 2004 | 55 | 36 | 9 |
| | Early April, 2004 | 53 | 38 | 2 |
| | Gallup: December, 2003 | 65 | 33 | |
| | September, 2003 | 64 67 | 28 | 8 |
| | February, 2003 | 67 | 25 | 8 |
| | January, 2003 | 69 71 | 23 | 8 |
| | Early October, 2002 | 71 | 22 | 7 |
| | June, 2002 Mid September, 2001 | 74 | 18 | 8 |
| | Mid-September, 2001 ⁶ | 85 | 6 | 9 |

5

In May 6-9, 2010, Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009, April, 2007 and earlier, the item was worded "terrorist threats."

Q.15 CONTINUED....

| Q.15 CONTINUED | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Clinton | <u>Approve</u> | Disapprove | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> | | | | |
| | Early September, 1998 | 72 | 20 | 8 | | | | |
| c. | The nation's immigration policy Dec 8-13, 2015 May 12-18, 2015 Dec 3-7, 2014 Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 Jun 12-16, 2013 Feb 13-18, 2013 (U) Nov 9-14, 2011 Jan 6-9, 2011 Jun 16-20, 2010 May 6-9, 2010 Apr 21-26, 2010 Jan 6-10, 2010 Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 37 37 38 31 32 43 44 32 35 33 25 29 30 31 | 56 56 61 60 47 43 49 50 54 54 47 50 48 | 7 6 7 11 13 20 16 12 21 24 21 21 | | | | |
| d. | The economy Dec 8-13, 2015 Jul 14-20, 2015 May 12-18, 2015 Dec 3-7, 2014 Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) Jul 8-14, 2014 Dec 3-8, 2013 (U) Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 Sep 4-8, 2013 (U) Jun 12-16, 2013 Feb 13-18, 2013 (U) Mar 7-11, 2012 Jan 11-16, 2012 Nov 9-14, 2011 Aug 17-21, 2011 Mar 30-Apr 3, 2011 Jan 6-9, 2011 Jun 16-20, 2010 May 6-9, 2010 Apr 21-26, 2010 Mar 10-14, 2010 Jan 6-10, 2010 Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 Jul 22-26, 2009 Jun 10-14, 2009 Apr 14-21, 2009 Feb 4-8, 2009 | $\begin{array}{c} 43\\ 45\\ 43\\ 45\\ 39\\ 40\\ 42\\ 31\\ 43\\ 44\\ 40\\ 43\\ 38\\ 35\\ 34\\ 40\\ 39\\ 42\\ 43\\ 31\\ 38\\ 35\\ 34\\ 40\\ 39\\ 42\\ 43\\ 41\\ 38\\ 41\\ 42\\ 42\\ 38\\ 52\\ 60\\ 56\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 51\\ 52\\ 51\\ 55\\ 56\\ 53\\ 65\\ 52\\ 50\\ 56\\ 53\\ 59\\ 58\\ 60\\ 55\\ 56\\ 51\\ 51\\ 51\\ 51\\ 51\\ 51\\ 51\\ 51\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 40\\ 33\\ 24 \end{array}$ | 5 4 4 4 6 4 5 3 5 5 4 4 4 6 6 4 6 7 5 8 8 7 7 5 9 8 7 20 | | | | |
| e. | Global climate change Dec 8-13, 2015 Jul 14-20, 2015 Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 45 41 38 44 | 40 39 46 32 | 15 20 17 24 | | | | |

⁶

In Mid-September, 2001 the question was worded: "...dealing with the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center in New York City and the Pentagon in Washington." In Early September 1998 the question was worded: "Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling current threats from international terrorist groups?"

ASK ALL:

Q.16 Do you think Barack Obama is too tough, not tough enough or about right in his approach to foreign policy and national security issues?

| Dec 9 12 2015 | Too <u>tough</u> | Not tough <u>enough</u> | About <u>right</u> 34 | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Dec 8-13, 2015 | 2 | 58 | | 6 |
| Jul 14-20, 2015 | 4 | 53 | 37 | / |
| Jan 7-11, 2015 | 5 | 55 | 37 | 3 |
| Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) | 3 | 54 | 36 | 7 |
| Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 | 5 | 51 | 37 | 6 |
| Sep 13-16, 2012 | 2 | 41 | 42 | 15 |
| Apr 21-26, 2010 | 2 | 47 | 41 | 10 |
| Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 3 | 47 | 43 | 7 |
| June 10-14, 2009 | 2 | 38 | 51 | 8 |

NO QUESTIONS 17-19, 21

QUESTIONS 20, 22-25 PREVIOUSLY RELEASED

ASK ALL:

On a different subject...

Q.26 In general, how well do you think the U.S. government is doing in reducing the threat of terrorism **[READ]**?

| Dec 8-13, 2015 Jan 7-11, 2015 Sep 2-9, 2014 Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 Jul 17-21, 2013 Aug 17-21, 2011 Oct 13-18, 2010 Jan 6-10, 2010 Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 Mar 31-Apr 21, 2009 Feb 4-8, 2009 Late February, 2008 January, 2007 December, 2006 August, 2006 February, 2006 Late October, 2005 July, 2005 July, 2004 August, 2003 | Very well 9 22 17 26 20 27 15 15 20 19 22 21 17 17 22 16 16 16 17 17 18 | Fairly well 36 50 39 47 47 49 54 50 53 56 49 45 37 48 52 50 50 50 53 53 53 | Not too well 27 17 22 18 21 16 17 21 14 15 16 19 27 21 16 20 20 20 22 19 17 16 19 27 21 16 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | Not at all well 25 9 19 8 9 6 10 12 8 5 6 12 17 11 8 10 9 9 8 8 7 | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> 2 2 2 2 3 2 4 2 4 5 7 3 2 3 2 5 2 3 4 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 4 2 3 3 2 3 3 4 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 4 2 3 3 2 3 3 4 2 3 3 3 4 2 3 3 4 2 3 3 3 4 2 3 3 4 2 3 3 3 4 2 3 3 3 3 3 4 2 3 3 4 2 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| July, 2004 | 18 | 53 | 17 | 8 | 4 |
| August, 2003 Early November, 2002 (<i>RVs</i>) June, 2002 Early November, 2001 October 15-21, 2001 October 10-14, 2001 | 19 15 16 35 38 48 | 56 54 60 46 46 40 | 16 19 16 9 9 6 | 7 8 4 5 4 2 | 2 4 5 3 4 |
| | | | | | |

ASK ALL:

Q.27 What concerns you more about the government's anti-terrorism policies **[READ AND RANDOMIZE OPTIONS 1 AND 2]**?

| Dec 8-13, 2015 Jan 7-11, 2015 Sep 2-9, 2014 Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 Jul 17-21, 2013 Oct 13-18, 2010 Jan 6-10, 2010 Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 ⁷ Late February, 2008 | 47 32 27 36 36 36 36 36 | They have not gone far enough to adequately protect the country 56 49 50 39 35 47 58 40 42 42 47 | Approve <u>of policies</u> 11 8 9 9 11 11 8 13 9 9 9 | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> 5 6 7 7 10 8 11 13 8 |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Feb 4-8, 2009 ⁷ | 36 | | - | - |
| August, 2006 February, 2006 January, 2006 Late October, 2005 | 26 33 33 34 | 55 50 46 48 | 11 10 12 10 | 8 7 9 8 |
| July, 2005 July, 2004 | 31 29 | 52 49 | 10 10 11 | 7 11 |

QUESTIONS 28, 30a, 30d, 30e HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

NO QUESTION 29

ASK ALL:

Q.30 As I read you some pairs of statements please tell me whether the FIRST statement or the SECOND statement comes closer to your own views — even if neither is exactly right. The first pair is [READ AND RANDOMIZE ITEMS; RANDOMIZE PAIRS BUT NOT STATEMENTS WITHIN EACH PAIR]. Next, [NEXT PAIR] [IF NECESSARY: "Which statement comes closer to your views, even if neither is exactly right?"]

| b. | Dec 8-13, 2015 Jan 23-Mar 16, 2014 | U.S. efforts to solve problems around the world usually end up <u>making things worse</u> 38 40 | Problems in the world would be even worse without U.S. involvement 55 53 | (VOL.) Both/Neither/ <u>DK/Ref</u> 7 7 |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| c. | | Using overwhelming military force is the best way to defeat terrorism <u>around the world</u> | Relying too much on military force to defeat terrorism creates hatred that leads to more <u>terrorism</u> | (VOL.) Both/Neither/ <u>DK/Ref</u> |
| | Dec 8-13, 2015 | 45 | 46 | 9 |
| | Feb 18-22, 2015 | 47 | 46 | 7 |
| | Jan 23-Mar 16, 2014 | 37 | 57 | 7 |
| | Feb 22-Mar 14, 2011 | 38 | 52 | 10 |
| | December, 2004 | 39 | 51 | 10 |
| | | | | |

7

In February 4-8, 2009, the question asked whether the policies "go too far in restricting the average person's civil liberties" or "do not go far enough to adequately protect the country."

36

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

ASK ALL:

Q.31 Which of the following comes closer to your view about the federal government's efforts to prevent terrorism **[READ AND RANDOMIZE]**?

Dec 8-13

<u>2015</u>

- Muslims living in the U.S. should be subject to more scrutiny than people in other religious groups
- Muslims living in the U.S. should NOT be subject to additional scrutiny solely because of their religion
- 7 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

NO QUESTIONS 32-39

QUESTIONS 40-43 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

ASK ALL:

Thinking about the political parties ...

Q.44 Which party could do a better job of **[INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE; OBSERVE FORM SPLITS]**? How about **[NEXT ITEM]**? **[IF NECESSARY:** Which party could do a better job of **ITEM**?]

| a. | Dealing with the economy | Republican <u>Party</u> | Democratic <u>Party</u> | (VOL.) Both equally | (VOL.) <u>Neither</u> | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> |
|----|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| а. | Dec 8-13, 2015 | 42 | 37 | 4 | 9 | 7 |
| | Jul 14-20, 2015 | 41 | 44 | 4 | 8 | 4 |
| | Feb 18-22, 2015 | 44 | 41 | 7 | 6 | 3 |
| | Oct 15-20, 2014 | 41 | 39 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| | Jul 8-14, 2014 | 47 | 39 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| | Jan 15-19, 2014 | 42 | 38 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| | Oct 9-13, 2013 | 44 | 37 | 5 | , 9 | 5 |
| | May 1-5, 2013 | 42 | 38 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| | May 25-30, 2011 | 38 | 40 | 5 | 8 | 8 |
| | Sep 16-19, 2010 | 38 | 37 | 8 | 10 | 8 |
| | May 20-23, 2010 | 33 | 34 | 9 | 14 | 10 |
| | Feb 3-9, 2010 | 38 | 41 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| | Aug 27-30, 2009 | 32 | 42 | 6 | 12 | 9 |
| | February, 2008 | 34 | 53 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| | October, 2006 | 32 | 45 | 4 | 5 | 14 |
| | September, 2006 | 32 | 46 | 5 | 5 | 12 |
| | February, 2006 | 36 | 46 | 5 | 5 | 8 |
| | Mid-September, 2005 | 38 | 44 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| | July, 2004 | 34 | 46 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| | Late October, 2002 (RVs) | 37 | 40 | 5 | 6 | 12 |
| | Early October, 2002 (RVs) | 37 | 41 | 4 | 5 | 13 |
| | Early September, 2002 | 36 | 36 | 9 | 6 | 13 |
| | January, 2002 | 43 | 34 | | 5 | 18 |
| | May, 2001 ⁸ | 33 | 44 | 8 | 5 | 10 |
| | June, 1999 | 37 | 43 | 8 | 3 | 9 |
| | March, 1999 | 39 | 44 | 5 | 3 | 9 |
| | Early September, 1998 | 40 | 38 | 8 | 4 | 10 |
| | March, 1998 | 40 | 40 | 12 | 3 | 5 |
| | October, 1994 | 45 | 33 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| | Gallup: October, 1992 (RVs) | 36 | 45 | 10 | | 9 |
| | Gallup: October, 1990 | 37 | 35 | | | 28 |

8

In May 2001 and earlier, the item was worded: "... keeping the country prosperous."

Q.44 CONTINUED....

| ų.++ v | | Republican <u>Party</u> | Democratic <u>Party</u> | (VOL.) Both <u>equally</u> | (VOL.) <u>Neither</u> | (VOL.) DK/Ref |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| b. | Dealing with the terrorist threat at hor Dec 8-13, 2015 Jul 14-20, 2015 Feb 18-22, 2015 Oct 15-20, 2014 Oct 13-18, 2010 May 20-23, 2010 Feb 3-9, 2010 Aug 27-30, 2009 February, 2008 October, 2006 September, 2006 February, 2006 Mid-September, 2005 July, 2004 Late October, 2002 (<i>RVs</i>) Early October, 2002 (<i>RVs</i>) Early September, 2002 January, 2002 | me 46 44 51 46 38 38 46 38 45 39 41 46 45 45 44 44 44 44 48 | 34 31 30 26 27 29 32 38 33 32 30 34 30 27 28 22 18 | 4 8 10 9 13 12 10 10 6 7 8 7 6 11 7 14 | 9 9 5 7 9 10 5 9 4 6 6 7 6 6 6 5 6 6 | 7 5 3 9 14 13 10 12 7 15 14 9 8 13 12 16 14 28 |
| c. | Dealing with immigration Dec 8-13, 2015 Jul 14-20, 2015 Feb 18-22, 2015 Oct 15-20, 2014 Jul 8-14, 2014 Jan 15-19, 2014 Oct 9-13, 2013 May 1-5, 2013 Dec 5-9, 2012 Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 May 25-30, 2011 Oct 13-18, 2010 May 20-23, 2010 Apr 21-26, 2010 Aug 27-30, 2009 February, 2008 October, 2006 September, 2006 February, 2006 | 42 40 43 41 42 38 40 38 35 45 39 35 35 36 31 38 35 32 27 34 | 40 42 45 40 39 39 38 44 37 37 28 27 35 36 43 33 37 43 38 | 3 4 5 4 8 4 7 5 3 4 9 10 7 6 4 6 5 6 8 | 9 9 4 7 7 8 8 8 8 6 9 12 14 11 13 6 8 10 11 9 | 7 6 3 7 6 7 9 9 10 9 11 16 14 12 13 9 18 16 13 11 |
| d. | Reflecting your views about gun contro Dec 8-13, 2015 Jul 14-20, 2015 May 1-5, 2013 Jan 9-13, 2013 Dec 17-19, 2012 ⁹ July, 2004 Late October, 2002 <i>(RV)</i> | ol 43 48 42 38 27 34 36 | 37 36 39 40 28 36 38 | 3 3 4 5 12 3 5 | 8 6 6 15 6 5 | 10 7 9 11 18 21 16 |

9

In December 2012, July 2004, and April 2000 the question was not part of a list.

Q.44 CONTINUED...

| Q.44 CONTINUED | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| April, 2000 June, 1999 December, 1993 | Republican <u>Party</u> 30 34 32 | Democratic <u>Party</u> 36 42 42 | (VOL.) Both equally 4 4 | (VOL.) <u>Neither</u> 7 8 8 | (VOL.) DK/Ref 23 12 18 |
| | | | | | |
| ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N=731]: | | | | | |
| e.F1 Dealing with the environment | 30 | FD | 4 | F | 7 |
| Dec 8-13, 2015 Jul 14-20, 2015 | 27 | 53 53 | 4 5 | 5 9 | 7 6 |
| TREND FOR COMPARISON: | 27 | 55 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Protecting the environment | | | | | |
| Feb 20-24, 2008 | 21 | 65 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| September, 2006 | 19 | 57 | 5 | 6 | 13 |
| February, 2006 | 24 | 56 | 6 | 5 | 9 |
| Mid-September, 2005 | 28 | 51 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| July, 2004 | 24 | 51 | 5 | 6 | 14 |
| Early September, 2002 | 25 | 46 | 9 | 6 | 14 |
| May, 2001 | 25 | 51 | 7 | 4 | 13 |
| June, 1999 | 27 | 45 | 7 | 5 | 16 |
| Early September, 1998 | 25 | 51 | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| March, 1998 | 22 | 56 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
| July, 1994 | 28 | 56 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| December, 1993 | 22 | 46 | | 12 | 20 |
| May, 1990 | 24 | 40 | | 19 | 17 |
| ASK FORM 2 ONLY [N=769]: f.F2 Dealing with global climate change | | | | | |
| Dec 8-13, 2015 | 32 | 46 | 2 | 8 | 11 |

QUESTION 45 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

ASK ALL:

On another topic...

Q.45z Which statement comes closer to your own views even if neither is exactly right [READ] ?

| Dec 8-13, 2015 Sep 2-9, 2014 Jul 8-14, 2014 Feb 12-26, 2014 May 1-5, 2013 Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 Aug 19-22, 2010 Aug 11-17, 2009 August, 2007 July, 2005 July, 2004 | The Islamic religion is more likely than others to encourage violence among <u>its believers</u> 46 50 43 38 42 40 35 38 45 36 46 | The Islamic religion does not encourage violence <u>more than others</u> 45 39 44 50 46 42 42 42 45 39 47 37 | (VOL.) Both/Neither/ <u>DK/Ref</u> 9 11 13 12 13 18 24 16 16 16 16 17 17 |
|---|---|--|---|
| Mid-July, 2003 March, 2002 | 44 25 | 41 51 | 15 24 |
| | | | |

QUESTIONS 46-48 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

NO QUESTIONS 49-50

ASK ALL:

Q.51 Now, I'd like your opinion about some possible international concerns for the U.S. Do you think that [INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE] is a major threat, a minor threat or not a threat to the well being of the United States? What about [INSERT ITEM]? [READ THE ANSWER CHOICES AS NECESSARY AFTER THE FIRST TIME]

| 2 | China's emergence as a world power | Major <u>threat</u> | Minor <u>threat</u> | Not a <u>threat</u> | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> |
|----|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| a. | Dec 8-13, 2015 | 49 | 34 | 13 | 4 |
| | Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 | 48 54 | 33 31 | 14 11 | 5 4 |
| | May, 2012 (C) | 52 | 35 | 9 | 5 |
| | Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 53 | 30 | 10 | 7 |
| | Jun 10-14, 2009 Jan 7-11, 2009 | 52 46 | 31 36 | 11 13 | 6 5 |
| | September, 2008 | 48 | 35 | 11 | 6 |
| | Late May, 2008 February, 2006 | 50 47 | 31 34 | 10 12 | 9 7 |
| | Late October, 2005 | 52 | 31 | 10 | 7 |
| | May, 2001 | 51 | 30 | 10 | 9 |
| | July, 1999 | 53 | 33 | 10 | 4 |
| b. | Growing authoritarianism in Russia | | | | |
| | Dec 8-13, 2015 Oct 20 Nov 6, 2012 | 42 32 | 40 41 | 9 13 | 8 14 |
| | Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 Late May, 2008 | 24 | 41 | 13 | 14 |
| | February, 2006 | 22 | 45 | 16 | 17 |
| | Late October, 2005 TRENDS FOR COMPARISON: | 23 | 44 | 13 | 20 |
| | Growing tension between Russia and its neighbors | | | | |
| | Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) | 53 | 35 | 8 | 5 |
| | Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 Jan 7-11, 2009 | 38 37 | 44 48 | 9 8 | 9 7 |
| | September, 2008 | 44 | 41 | 10 | 5 |
| | Political and economic instability in Russia | 27 | 4.5 | 10 | 4 5 |
| | May, 2001 July, 1999 | 27 40 | 46 42 | 12 14 | 15 4 |
| _ | | 10 | 12 | 1, | · |
| с. | North Korea's nuclear program Dec 8-13, 2015 | 59 | 29 | 9 | 3 |
| | Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) | 57 | 30 | 9 | 4 |
| | Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 | 67 69 | 24 22 | 6 5 | 3 |
| | May, 2012 (C) Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 69 69 | 22 | 3 | 5 5 |
| | June 10-14, 2009 | 72 | 19 | 5 | 5 |
| | January 7-11, 2009 September, 2008 | 53 55 | 32 33 | 8 7 | 7 5 |
| | Late May, 2008 | 55 | 32 | 7 | 6 |
| | February, 2006 | 60 | 27 | 6 | 7 |
| | Late October, 2005 | 66 | 24 | 4 | 6 |
| d. | Iran's nuclear program | <u> </u> | | c | - |
| | Dec 8-13, 2015 Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) | 62 59 | 27 28 | 8 9 | 3 4 |
| | Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 | 68 | 23 | 5 | 3 |
| | May, 2012 (C) | 70 | 21 | 4 | 5 |

Q.51 CONTINUED....

| Q.51 C | CONTINUED | | | | |
|--------|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | Major | Minor | Not a | (VOL.) |
| | | <u>threat</u> | <u>threat</u> | <u>threat</u> | <u>DK/Ref</u> |
| | Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 72 | 20 | 3 | 6 |
| | June 10-14, 2009 | 69 | 20 | 5 | 5 |
| | January 7-11, 2009 | 65 | 23 | 6 | 6 |
| | September, 2008 | 60 | 29 | 6 | 5 |
| | | | | | |
| | Late May, 2008 | 62 | 25 | 8 | 5 |
| | February, 2006 | 65 | 24 | 5 | 6 |
| | Late October, 2005 | 61 | 27 | 5 | 7 |
| e. | Global climate change | | | | |
| | Dec 8-13, 2015 | 49 | 30 | 17 | 4 |
| | Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) | 48 | 30 | 20 | 3 |
| | Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 | 45 | 30 | 20 | 4 |
| | May, 2012 (C) | 45 | 32 | 19 | 3 |
| | Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 44 | 36 | 15 | 5 |
| | TREND FOR COMPARISON | | 50 | 15 | 5 |
| | Global environmental problems | | | | |
| | | 53 | 32 | 6 | 9 |
| | May, 2001 | 22 | 32 | 0 | 9 |
| f. | The conflict between Israelis and Palestinians | | | | |
| | Dec 8-13, 2015 | 43 | 39 | 13 | 5 |
| | Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) | 48 | 33 | 13 | 6 |
| | June, 2009 | 49 | 35 | 9 | 6 |
| | January, 2009 | 45 | 40 | 9 | 6 |
| | | | | | |
| g. | The Islamic militant group in Iraq and Syria, known as ISIS | 83 | 13 | 2 | C |
| | Dec 8-13, 2015 | | | 3 5 | 2 |
| | Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) | 67 | 21 | 5 | 6 |
| | TREND FOR COMPARISON: | | | | |
| | Islamic extremist groups like al Qaeda | | | | |
| | Aug 20-24, 2014 (U) | 71 | 19 | 6 | 3 |
| | Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 | 75 | 18 | 4 | 3 |
| | May, 2012 (C) | 70 | 21 | 4 | 5 |
| | Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 76 | 18 | 2 | 3 |
| | June 10-14, 2009 | 78 | 14 | 4 | 4 |
| | January 7-11, 2009 | 77 | 15 | 4 | 4 |
| | September, 2008 | 72 | 21 | 3 | 4 |
| | Late May, 2008 | 72 | 18 | 4 | 6 |
| | | 12 | 10 | 7 | 0 |

NO QUESTIONS 52-58, 64-68

QUESTION 59-63 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N=731]:

Q.69F1 How concerned, if at all, are you about the rise of Islamic extremism around the WORLD these days? Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, not too concerned or not at all concerned about the rise of Islamic extremism around the world these days?

| | Very <u>concerned</u> | Somewhat <u>concerned</u> | Not too <u>concerned</u> | Not at all <u>concerned</u> | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> |
|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Dec 8-13, 2015 | 62 | 27 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| Sep 2-9, 2014 | 62 | 22 | 10 | 4 | 2 |
| Jul 21-24, 2011 | 37 | 36 | 16 | 8 | 3 |
| Nov 12-15, 2009 | 49 | 29 | 12 | 8 | 2 |
| April, 2007 | 48 | 33 | 11 | 5 | 3 |

ASK FORM 2 ONLY [N=769]:

Q.70F2 How concerned, if at all, are you about the possible rise of Islamic extremism IN THE U.S.? Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, not too concerned or not at all concerned about the possible rise of Islamic extremism in the U.S.?

| | Very <u>concerned</u> | Somewhat <u>concerned</u> | Not too <u>concerned</u> | Not at all <u>concerned</u> | (VOL.) DK/Ref |
|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Dec 8-13, 2015 | 49 | 29 | 12 | 8 | 2 |
| Sep 2-9, 2014 | 53 | 25 | 14 | 7 | 1 |
| July 21-24, 2011 | 36 | 31 | 19 | 11 | 2 |
| Nov 12-15, 2009 | 52 | 27 | 11 | 7 | 3 |
| April, 2007 | 46 | 32 | 13 | 5 | 4 |

QUESTIONS E1-E3, 71 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

NO QUESTIONS 72-80

ASK ALL:

Next,

Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the U.S. military campaign against Islamic militants in Iraq Q.81 and Syria?

| Dec 8-13, 2015 Jul 14-20, 2015 Feb 18-22, 2015 Oct 15-20, 2014 | <u>Approve</u> 64 63 63 57 | <u>Disapprove</u> 28 26 30 33 | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> 8 11 7 10 |
|---|--|---|--|
| TRENDS FOR COMPARISON: Sep 11-14, 2014 ¹⁰ Aug 14-17, 2014 ¹¹ (U) | 53 54 | 29 31 | 19 15 |

ASK ALL:

How well is the U.S. military campaign against Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria going [READ IN Q.82 **ORDER**]?

| Dec 8-13 <u>2015</u> | | Jul 14-20 <u>2015</u> | Feb 18-22 <u>2015</u> | Oct 15-20 <u>2014</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 7 | Very well | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| 28 | Fairly well | 23 | 30 | 29 |
| 39 | Not too well | 42 | 38 | 38 |
| 19 | Not at all well | 20 | 20 | 21 |
| 7 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 8 | 5 | 8 |

¹⁰

In the September 11-14, 2014 survey, respondents were asked: "As you may know, Barack Obama has announced a plan for a military campaign against Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria, involving U.S. airstrikes and U.S. military training for opposition groups. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of this plan?" In the August 14-17, 2014 survey, respondents were asked: "Do you approve or disapprove of the U.S. airstrikes against

¹¹ militants in Iraq in response to violence against civilians?"

ASK ALL:

Q.83 What concerns you more about the U.S. military action in Iraq and Syria **[READ AND RANDOMIZE OPTIONS 1 AND 2]**?

| Dec 8-13, 2015 Jul 14-20, 2015 Feb 18-22, 2015 Oct 15-20, 2014 Sep 11-14, 2014 TREND FOR COMPARISON: What concerns you more about the U.S. military | That the US will go too far in getting involved in the situation 42 43 46 47 41 | That the US will not go far enough in stopping the <u>Islamic militants</u> 50 48 49 43 41 | (VOL.) Both 2 1 1 1 3 | (VOL.) <u>Neither</u> 2 2 1 2 6 | (VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u> 4 6 4 7 9 |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| about the U.S. military action in Iraq? Aug 14-17, 2014 | 51 | 32 | 2 | 5 | 9 |

ASK ALL:

Q.84 Would you favor or oppose the U.S. sending ground troops to fight Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria?

| Dec 8-13 2015 | | Jul 14-20 2015 | Feb 18-22 2015 | Oct 15-20 2014 |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 47 | Favor | 44 | 47 | 39 |
| 47 | Oppose | 49 | 49 | 55 |
| 6 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 7 | 4 | 6 |

ASK ALL:

Q.85 Overall, do you think the United States and its allies will **[READ; READ CATEGORIES IN REVERSE ORDER FOR HALF THE SAMPLE]** in their military campaign against Islamic militants in Iraq and Syria?

| Dec 8-13 <u>2015</u> | | Jul 14-20 <u>2015</u> | Feb 18-22 <u>2015</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 17 | Definitely succeed | 13 | 15 |
| 49 | Probably succeed | 42 | 45 |
| 21 | Probably fail | 29 | 28 |
| 5 | Definitely fail | 7 | 5 |
| 8 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 9 | 6 |

NO QUESTIONS 86-89

QUESTION 90-92 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

ASK ALL:

PARTYIn politics TODAY, do you consider yourself a Republican, Democrat, or independent?ASK IF INDEP/NO PREF/OTHER/DK/REF (PARTY=3,4,5,9):PARTYLNAs of today do you lean more to the Republican Party or more to the Democratic Party?

| Dec 8-13, 2015 Aug 27-Oct 4, 2015 Sep 22-27, 2015 Jul 14-20, 2015 May 12-18, 2015 Mar 25-29, 2015 Feb 18-22, 2015 Jan 7-11, 2015 Dec 3-7, 2014 | Republican 26 24 26 22 24 25 24 25 24 21 24 24 | Democrat 31 29 30 32 32 30 31 30 31 30 31 | <u>Independent</u> 37 41 40 41 38 39 38 44 39 | No | (VOL.) Other <u>party</u> * * * 1 * 1 1 1 | (VOL.) DK/Ref 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 | Lean <u>Rep</u> 16 17 15 15 15 15 18 19 17 | Lean <u>Dem</u> 15 17 16 19 18 17 17 18 17 |
|--|--|--|--|------------|---|--|--|--|
| Yearly Totals 2014 | 23.2 | 31.5 | 39.5 | 3.1 | .7 | 2.0 | 16.2 | 16.5 |
| 2014 | 23.2 | 32.1 | 38.3 | 2.9 | .7 | 2.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| 2012 | 24.7 | 32.6 | 36.4 | 3.1 | .5 | 2.7 | 14.4 | 16.1 |
| 2011 | 24.3 | 32.3 | 37.4 | 3.1 | .4 | 2.5 | 15.7 | 15.6 |
| 2010 | 25.2 | 32.7 | 35.2 | 3.6 | .4 | 2.8 | 14.5 | 14.1 |
| 2009 | 23.9 | 34.4 | 35.1 | 3.4 | .4 | 2.8 | 13.1 | 15.7 |
| 2008 | 25.7 | 36.0 | 31.5 | 3.6 | .3 | 3.0 | 10.6 | 15.2 |
| 2007 | 25.3 | 32.9 | 34.1 | 4.3 | .4 | 2.9 | 10.9 | 17.0 |
| 2006 | 27.8 | 33.1 | 30.9 | 4.4 | .3 | 3.4 | 10.5 | 15.1 |
| 2005 | 29.3 | 32.8 | 30.2 | 4.5 | .3 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 14.9 |
| 2004 | 30.0 | 33.5 | 29.5 | 3.8 | .4 | 3.0 | 11.7 | 13.4 |
| 2003 | 30.3 | 31.5 | 30.5 | 4.8 | .5 | 2.5 | 12.0 | 12.6 |
| 2002 | 30.4 | 31.4 | 29.8 | 5.0 | .7 | 2.7 | 12.4 | 11.6 |
| 2001 | 29.0 | 33.2 | 29.5 | 5.2 | .6 | 2.6 | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| 2001 Post-Sept 11 | 30.9 | 31.8 | 27.9 | 5.2 | .6 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 9.4 |
| 2001 Pre-Sept 11 | 27.3 | 34.4 | 30.9 | 5.1 | .6 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 13.5 |
| 2000 | 28.0 | 33.4 | 29.1 | 5.5 | .5 | 3.6 | 11.6 | 11.7 |
| 1999 | 26.6 | 33.5 | 33.7 | 3.9 | .5 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| 1998 | 27.9 | 33.7 | 31.1 | 4.6 | .4 | 2.3 | 11.6 | 13.1 |
| 1997 | 28.0 | 33.4 | 32.0 | 4.0 | .4 | 2.3 | 12.2 | 14.1 |
| 1996 | 28.9 | 33.9 | 31.8 | 3.0 | .4 | 2.0 | 12.1 | 14.9 |
| 1995 1994 | 31.6 30.1 | 30.0 31.5 | 33.7 33.5 | 2.4 1.3 | .6 | 1.3 3.6 | 15.1 13.7 | 13.5 12.2 |
| 1994 | 27.4 | 33.6 | 34.2 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 11.5 | 14.9 |
| 1993 | 27.4 | 33.7 | 34.2 | 4.4 1.5 | 0 | 2.9 | 12.6 | 16.5 |
| 1992 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 33.2 | 0 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 12.0 | 10.5 |
| 1990 | 30.9 | 33.2 | 29.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 14.7 | 11.3 |
| 1989 | 33 | 33 | 34 | | | | | |
| 1987 | 26 | 35 | 39 | | | | | |
| | | 22 | | | | | | |
| Key to Pew Research tren | nds noted in | the toplin | e: | | | | | |

| (U) | Pew Research Center/USA Today polls |
|------|--|
| (WP) | Pew Research Center/Washington Post polls |
| (C) | Pew Research Global Attitudes/Carnegie Endowment for International Peace |