

Reading Comprehension LLM

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

We propose Read-ComprehensionLLM, an intelligent system utilizing large language models (LLMs) to provide outstanding ability in Reading Comprehension. We adopt syllogism prompting strategies to construct supervised fine-tuning datasets in the reading comprehension domain named Read-Comprehension50k, including instruction samples of two categories(Reading comprehension Multiple choice questions and Reading comprehension short answer questions). Besides we use LoRA and QLoRA method to fine-tune LLMs . Evaluations conducted on multiple benchmarks demonstrate that our model performs better than baseline models. Further resources are available at <https://huggingface.co/datasets/KashiwaByte/DISC-Assignment>

1 Introduction

The recent NLP literature has witnessed a tremendous amount of activity in building models that can follow natural language instructions(Mishra et al(2017)., ; Wei et al(2019); Sanh et al(2019); Wang et al(2018), ; Ouyang et al(2019) ; Chung et al(2017) . These developments are powered by two key components: large pretrained language models (LM) and human-written instruction data (e.g., PromptSource and Super-NaturalInstructions , SuperNI for short).

Prior work on instruction finetune need high memory usage, while a new method named LoRA can reduces memory requirements by using a small set of trainable parameters, often termed adapters, while not updating the full model parameters which remain fixed, based on it, another efficient finetuning approach named QLoRA, can backpropagates gradients through a frozen, 4-bit quantized pretrained language model into Low Rank Adapters. These two approach would be utilized in out work.

Reading comprehension tasks require thorough reading of the given context and step-by-step anal-

ysis to arrive at the correct option. This poses a significant challenge for large models, and enhancing reading comprehension abilities can effectively reduce the illusion of large models. Therefore, it becomes imperative to develop a domain-specific large model with reading comprehension capabilities.

To this end,we present our finetuned large language model tailored for effectively solving reading comprehension problems by analyzing and reasoning. We begin by adopting the reading comprehension syllogism prompting strategy to construct supervised fine-tuning datasets in the reading comprehension domain, named Read-Comprehension50k. These datasets are then employed to train Read-ComprehensionLLM with analyzing and reasoning on top of a general domain LLM with 2B parameters.

In order to evaluate the effectiveness Read-ComprehensionLLM, we utilize multiple evaluation benchmarks and experimental results show that Read-ComprehensionLLM outperforms significantly better than the base foundation model in all downstream tasks.In some domains, it even surpasses ChatGPT3.5, which demonstrates the advantage of our work.

2 Related Work

2.1 Traditional NLP models and Limitations

Traditional NLP models have made progress in various reading comprehension scenarios.

However ,The application of NLP models to the reading comprehension sector presents a unique set of challenges. Firstly, reading comprehension tasks require a thorough understanding of long texts. Secondly, reading comprehension tasks require a certain level of logical reasoning ability in order to derive answers from questions and context.Finally, many NLP models show poor adaptability, being designed for single-task performance

and lacking cross-task generalization(Mishra et al., 2022) These challenges underscore the need for future research to develop more robust and adaptable NLP models for the ever-evolving reading comprehension sector.

2.2 Large Language Models for Reading Comprehension

The proposal of LLM-based dialogue systems like ChatGPT OpenAI (2023a), GPT-4 OpenAI(OpenAI et al., 2024), Alpaca Taori et al. (2023) have subverted previous dialogue systems Zhang et al. (2019); Chen et al. (2022b, a). These systems are famous for their zero-shot generalization ability Zhao et al. (2023). One of the key technologies is instruction-tuning Wei et al. (2021). Fine-tuning pre-trained LLM through diverse instruction data to obtain the desired behavior pattern has become a common way to domainize LLM Bao et al. (2023); Yue et al. (2023). However, General domain LLMs reveal serious problems of hallucination by generating irrelevant content to the specific case.

2.3 Methods to enhance performance of Large Language Models

Prompt engineering, which involves designing effective prompts for large language models to guide their responses and improve performance in specific tasks or domains. Domain-specific instruction dataset construction, focusing on creating datasets that are tailored to specific domains or tasks, including task design and data partitioning strategies.

Zero-Shot Prompting is an important innovation in the field of Large Language Models (LLMs). Introduced by Radford et al. (2019), this technique allows us to guide the model to perform new tasks in the absence of large-scale specialized training data by using cleverly designed prompts.

Few-Shot Prompting was proposed by Brown et al. (2020) and, compared to Zero-Shot Prompting, it helps the model learn specific tasks by providing a small number of input-output examples. The paper describes that through carefully selected high-quality examples, the model’s performance in executing complex tasks can be significantly improved, especially in cases where no examples are available at all.

To overcome the limitations of Large Language Models (LLMs) in handling complex reasoning tasks, Wei et al(Wei et al., 2023) proposed an innovative approach called CoT. This technique intro-

duces a special prompting strategy aimed at facilitating a more continuous and step-by-step thinking process in the model. In comparison to traditional prompting methods, the primary contribution of CoT lies in its ability to more effectively prompt LLMs to generate structured and deeply considered answers.

SFT (Shanoff et al., 2022) is an instruction fine-tuning dataset that provides explicit guidance for training language models. The primary characteristic of the SFT dataset is its collection in real-world environments, with a focus on instructions that align with human understanding and generation.

Some efficient fine-tuning strategies such as LoRA(Hu et al., 2021) (Layer-wise Adaptive Rate Scaling) and QLoRA(Dettmers et al., 2023) (Quantized Layer-wise Adaptive Rate Scaling), which aim to optimize the fine-tuning process for large language models, can reduce memory requirements by using a small set of trainable parameters

3 Method

3.1 Read-Comprehension50k Datasets

To train Read-ComprehensionLLM, we construct a high-quality supervised fine-tuning dataset, Read-Comprehension50k with two subsets, namely CosmosQA25K and TriviaQA25K. The former aims to enhance the capabilities of LLMs in multiple choice questions and standardized outputs, while the latter seeks to bolster the abilities of LLMs in responding to long-text short answer questions. The core of dataset construction involves creating <instruction, input, output> triplets based on prompt and the content of the original dataset.

Dataset	Samples	Input Token
Multiple choice	25k	230
Short answer	25k	350
Total	50k	300

Table 1: Data statistics of the Read-Comprehension50k dataset.

3.1.1 CosmosQA25k

The CosmosQA(Huang et al., 2019) dataset comprises over 35,000 questions and more than 16,000 article paragraphs, sourced from diverse fields such as Wikipedia, news, fiction, and history. Each question is accompanied by one correct answer and also includes three incorrect answers as distractors.

172	This design makes Cosmos QA a dataset suited	3.2.1 LoRA Finetune for MiniCPM-2B	221
173	for Multiple-Choice Question Answering (MCQA)	The hyperparameters setting of this training process	222
174	tasks.	are as follows: global batch size of 4, learning	223
175	Utilizing ChatGPT, we designed a prompt and	rate of 1e-4,LoRA rank of 8, dropout parameters	224
176	refined it according to the principles of Prompt	of 0.1 , 3 epochs training stage, maximum target	225
177	Engineering to serve as the instruction. We then	length of 512 tokens. The training process was	226
178	consolidated the context, question, answer0, an-	carried out on an 3090 GPU and the training cost is	227
179	swer1, answer2, and answer3 into a single JSON	further reduced with the help of deepspeed Rasley	228
180	pair as the input. The label of the correct answer is	et al.(2020).	229
181	used as the output.		
182	The crafted prompt is as follows: "As a reading	3.2.2 QLoRA Finetune for ChatGLM3-6B	230
183	comprehension expert, you will receive context,	We used the Xtuner(Contributors, 2023) framework	231
184	question, and four answer options. Please under-	for QLoRA fine-tuning, with the following hyper-	232
185	stand the given context first and then output the	parameter settings: global batch size of 1, bit quan-	233
186	label of the correct option as the answer to the	tization of 4,learning rate of 2e-4, LoRA rank of 64,	234
187	question based on the context."	dropout parameters of 0.1,3 epochs training stage,	235
188		maximum source length of 512 tokens, The train-	236
189	3.1.2 TriviaQA25K	ing process was carried out on an 3090 GPU and	237
190	TriviaQA(Joshi et al., 2017) is a challenging	the training utilized deepspeed Rasley et al.(2020).	238
191	reading comprehension dataset that contains over		
192	650,000 question-answer-evidence triplets. Triv-	4 Experiment	239
193	iaQA includes 95,000 question-answer pairs au-	4.1 Evaluation Setup	240
194	thored by trivia enthusiasts, accompanied by inde-	To evaluate the overall performance of the fine-	241
195	pendently collected evidence documents.However,	tuned model on reading comprehension tasks, we	242
196	the original TriviaQA dataset is not suitable for	primarily tested it on two types of tasks: Multiple	243
197	use as an instructional dataset. Therefore, we	Choice questions and Short answer questions.	244
198	have reformatted its data structure to align with		
199	the format of the Stanford Question Answering	4.1.1 Multiple Choice Questions task	245
200	Dataset(Rajpurkar et al., 2016) (SQuAD). This ad-	For Multiple-Choice questions, we conducted ex-	246
201	justment involves structuring the data to better fa-	periments using the official testing link and test	247
202	facilitate the development and evaluation of models	set provided by CosmosQA. We conducted mul-	248
203	on question answering tasks.	multiple rounds of testing using the methods of CoT,	249
204	Leveraging ChatGPT and the principles of	ZeroShot, and FewShot.	250
205	Prompt Engineering, we designed a prompt to serve		
206	as the instruction for processing the data. We then	4.1.2 Short Answer Questions task	251
207	paired <context, question> as the input and desig-	For Short answer questions, we partitioned a 7k	252
208	nated the answer as the output.	SQuAD-formatted TriviaQA dataset for testing,and	253
209	The crafted prompt is as follows: "As a reading	modified the official validation code to align with	254
210	comprehension expert, you will receive context and	our format while retaining core metrics (Exact and	255
211	a question. Please understand the given context first	F1).	256
212	and then output the answer to the question based		
213	on the context."	4.2 Main Results	257
214		In this section, we present evaluation results of our	258
215	3.2 LLM Finetuning	model on above two tasks in the reading compre-	259
216	We utilized MiniCPM-2B(Hu et al., 2024) and	hension domain.	260
217	ChatGLM3-6B(Du et al., 2022) as the base models		
218	for fine-tuning, applying the LoRA method to the	4.2.1 Multiple Choice Questions task	261
219	former and the QLoRA method to the latter. For	We conducted both ablation studies and compar-	262
220	both fine-tuning processes, we used a 3090 GPU	ative research on the fine-tuned models to assess	263
	and leveraged the DeepSpeed framework Rasley	their performance and identify the impact of differ-	264
	et al.(2020). to accelerate training.	ent modifications.	265

Model	Score
Fewshot MiniCPM	0.3251
Fewshot LoRA MiniCPM	0.7773
Fewshot CoT LoRA MiniCPM	0.7790
CoT LoRA MiniCPM	0.8211
ZH LoRA MiniCPM	0.8215
LoRA MiniCPM	0.8291

Table 2: Ablation Studies

In ablation studies, we observed that the basic MiniCPM-2B model essentially lacks the capability for reading comprehension and selection. Under ZeroShot conditions, it is utterly incapable of completing tasks, and even with FewShot, its performance is only slightly better than pure randomness.

After LoRA fine-tuning, the MiniCPM-2B was able to achieve respectable results, ranking Top 36 on the evaluation leaderboard. When using Chinese prompts, the performance of the LoRA fine-tuned model showed only a minor decrease, reaching Top 42. This demonstrates that MiniCPM-2B possesses multilingual capabilities and can also be applied to Chinese reading comprehension tasks.

It appears that smaller models have lower receptivity to prompts and FewShot learning. Experiments indicate that incorporating FewShot and CoT tends to degrade performance.

Model	Score
ChatGPT3.5	0.7233
LoRA MiniCPM	0.8291
QLoRA Chatglm3	0.8416

Table 3: Comparative Experiments

In the comparative experiments, we contrasted the fine-tuned MiniCPM-2B with fine-tuned ChatGLM3-6B and ChatGPT3.5. The results demonstrated that small-parameter models, after undergoing instruction-based fine-tuning, were able to surpass the performance of ChatGPT3.5 in specific tasks. This highlights the potential of smaller models to achieve competitive results in targeted applications when effectively fine-tuned.

4.2.2 Short Answer Questions task

In the Short Answer questions task, we repeatedly tested eight scenarios including FewShot and ZeroShot LoRA fine-tuned MiniCPM-2B, the original MiniCPM-2B model, QLoRA fine-tuned ChatGLM3-6B, and ChatGLM3-6B itself.

Unfortunately, none of these configurations yielded practically usable results. This outcome suggests that despite the fine-tuning efforts, the models may still face challenges in handling the complexity or specific requirements of short answer question tasks, indicating a need for further model optimization or exploring alternative approaches.

We speculate that the reason for the training failures is that the maxline setting during model fine-tuning was too small, preventing the models from effectively handling reading comprehension tasks with long contexts. This limitation likely restricted the models’ ability to process and understand the full scope of the provided texts, thereby impacting their performance on tasks requiring detailed comprehension of lengthy passages. Adjusting the maxline parameter to accommodate longer contexts could potentially improve model performance in future experiments.

Additionally, we tested ChatGPT3.5 and the results indicated that it performed well. On a test set of 1,000 entries, it achieved an Exact Match score of 0.157 and an F1 score of 0.377, with only 73 instances of misunderstanding. This performance highlights the model’s effectiveness in grasping and responding to short answer questions, suggesting a robust comprehension capability compared to the earlier tested models.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we constructed an instruction fine-tuning dataset specifically for the reading comprehension domain and developed two domain-fine-tuned models using LoRA and QLoRA methods. Our evaluation results demonstrate the effectiveness of our models on the Multiple Choice Questions task. Additionally, we identified significant limitations in small-parameter models when dealing with long-text reading comprehension challenges. These findings highlight the importance of model parameter scale in handling complex reading tasks and suggest avenues for further research and optimization in model training strategies.

References

- XTuner Contributors. 2023. Xtuner: A toolkit for efficiently fine-tuning llm. <https://github.com/InternLM/xtuner>.
- Tim Dettmers, Artidoro Pagnoni, Ari Holtzman, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2023. *Qlora: Efficient finetuning of quantized llms*.

348	Zhengxiao Du, Yujie Qian, Xiao Liu, Ming Ding,	Joanne Jang, Angela Jiang, Roger Jiang, Haozhun	407
349	Jiezhong Qiu, Zhilin Yang, and Jie Tang. 2022. Glm:	Jin, Denny Jin, Shino Jomoto, Billie Jonn, Hee-	408
350	General language model pretraining with autoregres-	woo Jun, Tomer Kaftan, Łukasz Kaiser, Ali Ka-	409
351	sive blank infilling. In <i>Proceedings of the 60th An-</i>	mali, Ingmar Kanitscheider, Nitish Shirish Keskar,	410
352	<i>annual Meeting of the Association for Computational</i>	Tabarak Khan, Logan Kilpatrick, Jong Wook Kim,	411
353	<i>Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)</i> , pages 320–335.	Christina Kim, Yongjik Kim, Jan Hendrik Kirchner,	412
354	Edward J. Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan	Jamie Kiros, Matt Knight, Daniel Kokotajlo,	413
355	Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and	Łukasz Kondraciuk, Andrew Kondrich, Aris Kon-	414
356	Weizhu Chen. 2021. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of	stantinidis, Kyle Kopic, Gretchen Krueger, Vishal	415
357	large language models .	Kuo, Michael Lampe, Ikai Lan, Teddy Lee, Jan	416
358	Shengding Hu, Yuge Tu, Xu Han, Chaoqun He, Ganqu	Leike, Jade Leung, Daniel Levy, Chak Ming Li,	417
359	Cui, Xiang Long, Zhi Zheng, Yewei Fang, Yuxi-	Rachel Lim, Molly Lin, Stephanie Lin, Mateusz	418
360	ang Huang, Weilin Zhao, et al. 2024. Minicpm:	Litwin, Theresa Lopez, Ryan Lowe, Patricia Lue,	419
361	Unveiling the potential of small language models	Anna Makanju, Kim Malfacini, Sam Manning, Todor	420
362	with scalable training strategies. <i>arXiv preprint</i>	Markov, Yaniv Markovski, Bianca Martin, Katie	421
363	<i>arXiv:2404.06395</i> .	Mayer, Andrew Mayne, Bob McGrew, Scott Mayer	422
364	Lifu Huang, Ronan Le Bras, Chandra Bhagavatula, and	McKinney, Christine McLeavey, Paul McMillan,	423
365	Yejin Choi. 2019. Cosmos qa: Machine reading com-	Jake McNeil, David Medina, Aalok Mehta, Jacob	424
366	prehension with contextual commonsense reasoning .	Menick, Luke Metz, Andrey Mishchenko, Pamela	425
367	Mandar Joshi, Eunsol Choi, Daniel Weld, and Luke	Mishkin, Vinnie Monaco, Evan Morikawa, Daniel	426
368	Zettlemoyer. 2017. TriviaQA: A large scale distantly	Mossing, Tong Mu, Mira Murati, Oleg Murk, David	427
369	supervised challenge dataset for reading comprehen-	Mély, Ashvin Nair, Reiichiro Nakano, Rajeev Nayak,	428
370	sion . In <i>Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of</i>	Arvind Neelakantan, Richard Ngo, Hyeonwoo Noh,	429
371	<i>the Association for Computational Linguistics (Vol-</i>	Long Ouyang, Cullen O’Keefe, Jakub Pachocki, Alex	430
372	<i>ume 1: Long Papers)</i> , pages 1601–1611, Vancouver,	Paino, Joe Palermo, Ashley Pantuliano, Giambat-	431
373	Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.	tista Parascandolo, Joel Parish, Emy Parparita, Alex	432
374	Swaroop Mishra, Daniel Khashabi, Chitta Baral, and	Passos, Mikhail Pavlov, Andrew Peng, Adam Perel-	433
375	Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2022. Cross-task generaliza-	man, Filipe de Avila Belbute Peres, Michael Petrov,	434
376	tion via natural language crowdsourcing instructions .	Henrique Ponde de Oliveira Pinto, Michael, Poko-	435
377	OpenAI, Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal,	rny, Michelle Pokrass, Vitchyr H. Pong, Tolly Pow-	436
378	Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Ale-	ell, Alethea Power, Boris Power, Elizabeth Proehl,	437
379	man, Diogo Almeida, Janko Alvenschmidt, Sam Alt-	Raul Puri, Alec Radford, Jack Rae, Aditya Ramesh,	438
380	man, Shyamal Anadkat, Red Avila, Igor Babuschkin,	Cameron Raymond, Francis Real, Kendra Rimbach,	439
381	Suchir Balaji, Valerie Balcom, Paul Baltescu, Haim-	Carl Ross, Bob Rotsted, Henri Roussez, Nick Ry-	440
382	ing Bao, Mohammad Bavarian, Jeff Belgium, Ir-	der, Mario Saltarelli, Ted Sanders, Shibani Santurkar,	441
383	wan Bello, Jake Berdine, Gabriel Bernadett-Shapiro,	Girish Sastry, Heather Schmidt, David Schnurr, John	442
384	Christopher Berner, Lenny Bogdonoff, Oleg Boiko,	Schulman, Daniel Selsam, Kyla Sheppard, Toki	443
385	Madelaine Boyd, Anna-Luisa Brakman, Greg Brock-	Sherbakov, Jessica Shieh, Sarah Shoker, Pranav	444
386	man, Tim Brooks, Miles Brundage, Kevin Button,	Shyam, Szymon Sidor, Eric Sigler, Maddie Simens,	445
387	Trevor Cai, Rosie Campbell, Andrew Cann, Brittany	Jordan Sitkin, Katarina Slama, Ian Sohl, Benjamin	446
388	Carey, Chelsea Carlson, Rory Carmichael, Brooke	Sokolowsky, Yang Song, Natalie Staudacher, Felipe	447
389	Chan, Che Chang, Fotis Chantzis, Derek Chen, Sully	Petrovski Such, Natalie Summers, Ilya Sutskever,	448
390	Chen, Ruby Chen, Jason Chen, Mark Chen, Ben	Jie Tang, Nikolas Tezak, Madeleine B. Thompson,	449
391	Chess, Chester Cho, Casey Chu, Hyung Won Chung,	Phil Tillet, Amin Tootoonchian, Elizabeth Tseng,	450
392	Dave Cummings, Jeremiah Currier, Yunxing Dai,	Preston Tuggle, Nick Turley, Jerry Tworek, Juan Fe-	451
393	Cory Decareaux, Thomas Degry, Noah Deutsch,	lipe Cerón Uribe, Andrea Vallone, Arun Vijayvergiya,	452
394	Damien Deville, Arka Dhar, David Dohan, Steve	Chelsea Voss, Carroll Wainwright, Justin Jay Wang,	453
395	Dowling, Sheila Dunning, Adrien Ecoffet, Atty Eleti,	Alvin Wang, Ben Wang, Jonathan Ward, Jason Wei,	454
396	Tyna Eloundou, David Farhi, Liam Fedus, Niko Felix,	CJ Weinmann, Akila Welihinda, Peter Welinder, Ji-	455
397	Simón Posada Fishman, Juston Forte, Isabella Ful-	ayi Weng, Lilian Weng, Matt Wiethoff, Dave Willner,	456
398	ford, Leo Gao, Elie Georges, Christian Gibson, Vik	Clemens Winter, Samuel Wolrich, Hannah Wong,	457
399	Goel, Tarun Gogineni, Gabriel Goh, Rapha Gontijo-	Lauren Workman, Sherwin Wu, Jeff Wu, Michael	458
400	Lopes, Jonathan Gordon, Morgan Grafstein, Scott	Wu, Kai Xiao, Tao Xu, Sarah Yoo, Kevin Yu, Qim-	459
401	Gray, Ryan Greene, Joshua Gross, Shixiang Shane	ing Yuan, Wojciech Zaremba, Rowan Zellers, Chong	460
402	Gu, Yufei Guo, Chris Hallacy, Jesse Han, Jeff Harris,	Zhang, Marvin Zhang, Shengjia Zhao, Tianhao	461
403	Yuchen He, Mike Heaton, Johannes Heidecke, Chris	Zheng, Juntang Zhuang, William Zhuk, and Barret	462
404	Hesse, Alan Hickey, Wade Hickey, Peter Hoeschele,	Zoph. 2024. Gpt-4 technical report .	463
405	Brandon Houghton, Kenny Hsu, Shengli Hu, Xin	Pranav Rajpurkar, Jian Zhang, Konstantin Lopyrev, and	464
406	Hu, Joost Huizinga, Shantanu Jain, Shawn Jain,	Percy Liang. 2016. Squad: 100,000+ questions for	465
		machine comprehension of text .	466
		Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten	467
		Bosma, Brian Ichter, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc Le, and	468

469 Denny Zhou. 2023. Chain-of-thought prompting elic-
470 its reasoning in large language models.